STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING

Friday, January 26th, 2007

9:09 a.m. to 3:38 p.m.

held at

Red Lion Hotel 1401 Arden Way Sacramento, California

Commissioners Present: Anderson, Brissenden, McMillin, Prizmich, Spitler and Thomas

Commissioner Absent: Willard

Reported by CHERYL L. KYLE, CSR No. 7014

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING Certified Shorthand Reporters 2315 Capitol Avenue, Suite 1010 Sacramento, CA 95816

916-492-1010

877-453-1010

FAX 916-492-1222

3	of the
---	--------

- 4 Off-Highway Vehicle Commission meeting of the 25th,
- 5 this being the 26th. We will dispense with the various
- 6 things we do to start the meeting, as this was all done
- 7 yesterday.
- 8 I'd like to welcome all of the staff. Phil is
- 9 not here to introduce everyone, but I think that most
- 10 everybody is the same in the audience, so if you
- 11 haven't met our staff, come and introduce yourself.
- 12 Also since Mardi was very good about selling tickets
- 13 yesterday, I'm not certain what happened today, you may
- 14 move forward so that you can really participate in this
- 15 if you'd like. But if you're shy, you can stay at the
- 16 back of the room.
- 17 We did have an executive session this morning,
- 18 and we gave direction to counsel.
- 19 And now we'll go forward with the first grant of
- 20 the day, unless there is public or comments from
- 21 commissioners about anything in particular.
- I have one announcement. I would rather be with
- 23 my wife today. It's her 59th birthday. So if you want
- 24 to send her good thoughts, that would be good.
- 25 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: If we finish early, you

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 can get home.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Finish early, like tomorrow,
- 3 that is a possibility.
- 4 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Yesterday as we were here

- 5 and wrapping up, the cleaning crew came in and looked
- around and said we have never seen a group that has 6
- 7 cleaned up so well after themselves. They were very
- 8 complimentary about everybody. We asked them if they
- 9 can bring in a recycling container. They loved that
- 10 even more. Just a thanks to everybody for that.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Clean group, also reminds me
- 12 to mention to you that next door they lost two laptops
- 13 due to thefts. Don't leave anything out that you
- 14 val ue. I left all of my binders, and they didn't take
- 15 So without further ado, Kenny, are you still those.
- 16 up? A new --
- 17 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Fresh face. Good morning,
- 18 everyone. My name is Martha Abarra, grant
- 19 admi ni strator.
- We are on line 55, which is OR-1-SW-42, 20
- 21 California State Office. They requested \$56,560.
- 22 received a score of 48, at 40 percent for an amount of
- 23 \$22,624. They did submit supplemental information;
- 24 however, the statements made were general and did not
- 25 contain any new facts.

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 10 877-453-1010

916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Do we have any material
- 2 that was handed out?
- 3 JIM WEIGAND: I have some right here.
- 4 Good morning, Commissioners, good morning
- Commission staff, members of the public. My name is 5
- 6 Jim Weigand. I'm the ecologist at the BLM California
- 7 State Office, and I'm here to make a very brief

- 8 presentation about this grant and why we wrote it.
- 9 Jim Keeler and I realized perhaps a sense of
- 10 discontent perhaps amongst the Commission in their
- 11 funding levels for the California State Office. And so
- 12 in our grant applications for 2007, we wanted to focus
- 13 our coordination efforts on emerging issues that will
- 14 help both the Commission, Division, and BLM meet joint
- 15 goals for motorized recreation on BLM lands in
- 16 California for the 21st century.
- 17 This grant is in response to the emerging needs
- 18 for technology development and building capacity for
- 19 BLM staff in desert ecosystems and dune ecosystems in
- 20 the State of California. And so this comes from the
- 21 really great process that was initiated by Deputy
- 22 Director Daphne Greene for updating the soil loss
- 23 standard and monitoring requirements for soils on BLM
- 24 lands in California. And this has been a process that
- 25 covered at least two years where both forest service,

- 1 OHMRV staff, the California Department of Conservation,
- 2 and BLM worked together to forge a really, I think,
- 3 super draft of these new guidelines for soil
- 4 conservation.
- 5 One of the things that emerges from all of this
- 6 is that the need for improving and maintaining and
- 7 monitoring the quality and the increasing demand for
- 8 high-quality OHV trails in desert and dune ecosystems
- 9 will only increase in the future. One of the gaps, the
- 10 technology gaps that we at BLM are confronting, is that

- 11 we simply don't have the instructional materials, the
- 12 engineering background, and the labor force to really
- 13 meet the challenges. And so this is our attempt to
- 14 really come to meet these concerns and to be there for
- 15 the people of California to improve our own
- 16 institutional capacity. And I would be glad to answer
- 17 any questions.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Are there any
- 19 comments from the public on this particular grant?
- 20 Commissioners?
- 21 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: You identified this as a
- 22 curriculum in the sense of it's a training module?
- 23 JIM WEIGAND: Yes, it is. That's one part of
- 24 this. This is the educational part of it, and it's not
- 25 just oriented to BLM. It has application -- I mean the

- 1 target audience could definitely be our volunteers in
- 2 the OHV community, and I must say we have gotten some
- 3 excellent support already from OHV groups. And the one
- 4 organization that comes to mind right away is Blue
- 5 Ribbon Coalition. They have been terrific in helping
- 6 us build our capacity at the Hollister Field Office to
- 7 improve our ability to manage and maintain trails in
- 8 landscape challenged areas. And we need to be building
- 9 these alliances and partnerships for the future. And
- 10 the idea is that we do it in an innovative way.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: What is a landscape
- 12 challenged area. I thought a landscape is a landscape.
- 13 JIM WEIGAND: Well, that was my turn of phrase

- 14 here. And, again, areas that have a lot of challenges,
- 15 landscapes with either steep slopes.
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: You mean like rocks and
- 17 trees and things, like mountains. They're really
- 18 challenged, right?
- 19 JIM WEIGAND: Right.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Let him finish the
- 21 definition.
- 22 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I want to support this.
- 23 I'm going to look for some members of the audience and
- 24 a few members of the staff will realize for the last
- 25 several days, I've kind of had a hobbyhorse that I've

- 1 been working, which is to try to find out what was the
- 2 status, even nationwide, of training for people who
- 3 manage off-highway motor vehicle areas. And Mr. Keeler
- 4 and Mr. Weigand and several other -- some members of
- 5 the Forest Service had talked to me about the
- 6 availability and lack thereof of a concerted or
- 7 organized educational facility where people who are
- 8 managing off-highway motor vehicles might increase
- 9 their skills.
- 10 And this addresses that need I see with perhaps
- 11 only one module in dealing with soils, but that's
- 12 certainly a prevailing need. And so I think that this
- 13 is incredibly important. In terms of the
- 14 sustainability of this program in the long-term is to
- 15 have people who are managing these areas, both the
- 16 SVRAs and the federal areas and even the counties. I

- 17 didn't choose to nail down the Santa Clara County
- applicant yesterday, but it was certainly in the back 18
- 19 of my mind to find out what kind of formal training
- 20 that people had who were managing their area or if they
- 21 had people with formal training that they might
- 22 actually call upon. Recreation training I found is way
- 23 too generic for the specific problems and situations
- 24 that are encountered in OHV areas.
- 25 JIM WEIGAND: Commissioner Anderson, BLM has

7

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- benefitted, for example, from the great work and 2 training from Cam Lockwood. But as Kathy Mick pointed
- 3 out yesterday, he's in great demand, and there is one
- Cam, and he gets spread really thin. And this is an 4
- attempt, I think, on our part to let's duplicate Cam, 5
- let's make sure that we build our expertise internally, 6
- 7 and then the other link that we have is, especially
- 8 with the OHMRV Division and working with our colleagues
- at Ocotillo Wells and Heber Dunes as well, I mean these 9
- desert ecosystems that we both share. 10
- 11 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: So how many students would
- 12 you expect this would address ultimately?
- 13 JIM WEIGAND: The immediate goal would be to
- 14 address the seven BLM field offices that are in the
- 15 Moj ave and Sonoran deserts.
- 16 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: And you mentioned also
- 17 vol unteers.

- 18 JIM WEIGAND: And we would definitely want to be
- 19 building our links with the OHV community groups.

- 20 what I found is that there is a huge expertise among
- 21 riders. And they have, in many cases, been very
- 22 willing to volunteer and to help us in these matters,
- 23 and we need to have something for them as well for
- 24 instruction.
- 25 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I see the educational

8

- 1 efforts that are being done, like Camp Duwekus training
- 2 and certainly a lot of volunteers who are working with
- 3 noise, spark arresters, and various modules, certainly
- 4 the Gold Rock School for using education are pretty
- 5 valuable. Thank you. I'll stop.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments?
- 7 Commissioner Prizmich.
- 8 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Dr. Weigand, I'm not
- 9 really clear. Are you asking for more money? Because
- 10 we have a score here, and I haven't heard thus far you
- 11 address that score as inappropriate or suggesting
- 12 anything different.
- 13 JIM WEIGAND: We had a request to raise that
- 14 score to 64 points, and one of the things in terms of
- 15 new information that I can present today is the list of
- 16 partnerships that we would be, you know, encouraging
- 17 and promoting. And this is something that's a wide
- 18 network that we need to start working on.
- 19 And then again this was in response to policy
- 20 being established at the OHMRV Division, and this is a
- 21 response by the Bureau of Land Management to meet these
- 22 goals of the Division.

23	2007-26-01 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: So you're asking for an
24	increase in your score to 64?
25	JIM WEIGAND: Well, yes, I am.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: You're suggesting that,
2	and in what areas can you identify that the increase
3	would come. Can you, please
4	JIM WEIGAND: I'll have to get the document.
5	You have a copy of that in your pile, as well.
6	In addition, for revision of the score dated
7	November 2nd, in section one we outlined the additions
8	of benefits. And so for section one, we ask for 30 out
9	of 35 points. And we actually go into explaining how
10	we plan to solve one or more operational problems and
11	conditions. And I think I've gone into that with you
12	in some detail now, and I'd be glad to answer more
13	questions about this.
14	But again dealing with our need to meet the
15	engineering and operational demands, we don't have that
16	built into our staff capacity now. And we need to be
17	moving towards that. So that for me is just a huge
18	issue right there for us, and I think that that's an
19	important, you know, issue for increase.
20	In section three, the project is designed for
21	efficient use of OHV Trust funds, I think this is an
22	efficient use because we are responding to both a
23	public need and for policy from the Division to make
24	sure that we are providing the kind of OHV experience,
25	and that's supported by trail maintenance, that the
	Page 9

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 people of California and the OHV Division expect. 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner McMillin, did 3 you have a comment you want to make? COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Well, I was just going 4 5 to suggest a motion to support staff's recommendation. I think this is -- although, it's very, very important 6 7 stuff that I'm hearing, I think the request is very 8 vague. So that would be my motion to accept staff's 9 recommendation, unless somebody else wants to go and 10 get this pace picked up. 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 0kay. There is a motion. 12 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll second that. 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion and second. All those 14 in favor? 15 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.) CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? 16 17 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Opposed. 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion passes. JIM WEIGAND: Thank you. I think there is clear 19 20 direction for next year to bring it back and strengthen that because I think there is a real need. 21 22 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Can we reconsider that? 23 The Chair moved a little fast. It's a little early. 24 Commissioner Anderson was in the process of making an 25 amendment, and I was distracted, and I voted too 11

916-492-1010

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

	2007-26-01
1	quickly, and I'd like to.
2	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Well, I need to defer to
3	counsel as to how do we reconsider once a vote is
4	taken.
5	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: As one of those who voted
6	in favor of the motion, you're entitled to vote.
7	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And I would move for
8	reconsideration, given my confusion of the moment.
9	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Second.
10	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
11	for reconsideration. Under discussion, any particular
12	reason?
13	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: The fog of the morning.
14	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The fog of the morning.
15	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Well, I hope the fog lifts
16	shortly.
17	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: We reconsidered the motion
18	in light of the exigency of the information that was
19	provided by the applicant.
20	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So those in favor of
21	reconsideration please say aye.
22	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
23	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Those opposed?
24	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
25	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm proposing to add five 12
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 points to category one on the basis of promoting safe
- 2 and responsible use and in solving the operational
- 3 problems associated with maintaining long-term use. Page 11

4	In category two, I'm proposing to add 10 points
5	in light of the educational outreach, which would be a
6	part of this package, increasing the skills of the
7	volunteers, and including volunteer trail patrol.
8	In category three, I would propose to add five
9	points to A, and two points to C, making a total of 14
10	points for three on the basis of the additional
11	information on the partnerships that they've
12	established and the outreach to students, general
13	public and even staff. So that would be a total of
14	whatever.
15	OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: Seventy.
16	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Seventy, that's my motion.
17	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Second.
18	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
19	Those in favor?
20	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Those opposed?
22	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
23	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries.
24	Okay. The fog is lifting.
25	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	for your kind patience and tolerant attitude

- for your kind patience and tolerant attitude.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: There is a philosophy out
- 3 there in meetings that no matter the length of time and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
- 4 the length of issues, it always fills the space
- available. So I would rather with the next 15 just 5
- move right along, if we may, per your suggestion that I $$\operatorname{\textit{Page}}$$ 12 6

- 7 might see my wife sometime today.
- 8 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you. I won't
- 9 indulge my fog any longer.
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So on to 56, please.
- 11 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 56, OR-1-CD-366,
- 12 California Desert District. They requested \$92,549.
- 13 They received a score of 55 at 50 percent for a funding
- 14 determination of \$46,275.
- The applicant did submit supplemental
- 16 information and did include new facts. And had those
- 17 facts been included in the original application, it may
- 18 have scored a higher score.
- 19 JIM KEELER: Good morning, Commissioners, staff,
- 20 I'm Jim Keeler, California BLM State Office. I will be
- 21 representing this grant for the Desert District and for
- 22 the desert managers group that actually sponsored the
- 23 entire project.
- We are asking for an increase. We did submit
- 25 additional materials which are in the safety and

14

- 1 education section of your binder, and is tab number two
- 2 there. So I don't know if you want me to go through it
- 3 or you wanted to look at that or you had specific
- 4 questions. John Stewart, if we needed to, could talk
- 5 more about the operational details. He's part of the
- 6 advisory group if you had questions for him.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You're part of the advisory
- 8 group, John? If you wanted to make brief remarks, that
- 9 would be great.

10	JOHN STEWART: Yes, John Stewart, California
11	Association 4-Wheel Drive and United 4-Wheel Drive
12	Association. Yes, the desert tortoise education and
13	advisory council not council, but group is working
14	with the was established by the Desert Manager's
15	Group in order to develop and promote an education
16	program dealing with the desert tortoise in the Desert
17	District area. Representatives from the Defenders of
18	Wildlife, and myself, and Fish and Wildlife Service,
19	the California Department of Fish and Game, plus the
20	Bureau of Land Management, and National Park Service,
21	we have developed a pamphlet, an informational pamphlet
22	that has been distributed, and I think it's now on the
23	third reprinting, just to raise awareness to the public
24	as to what the issues are with the desert tortoise.
25	There is a secondary phase to this program 15
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 starting that we're trying to get going, which will
- 2 include public service spots to air throughout the
- 3 radio and TV stations in the desert communities. An
- 4 they're also looking to put together educational trunks
- 5 that will be used within the schools in order to teach
- 6 the elementary children about the life and the issues
- 7 with the desert tortoise and how to protect them. So
- 8 from that perspective this grant is going along with a
- 9 grant from the Fish and Wildlife Service and a couple
- 10 of other agencies in order to support the full funding
- 11 to get this project on line.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners, do Page 14

- 13 you have any questions of the applicant? Do we have a
- 14 motion?
- 15 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I'll make a motion. I am
- 16 looking at the information provided by the BLM here on
- 17 tab two of one of our many massive binders, and I find
- 18 that information compelling, and I will recommend the
- 19 following scores.
- 20 Under the first item, I'll accept BLM's
- 21 recommendation of a score of 30 out of 35; 20 on the
- 22 second item; 15 on the third item; and 12 on the fourth
- 23 item; for a total score of 77 out of 100.
- I also personally know this program. I think
- 25 it's been a very good program. We funded it last year,

- 1 and I can't remember if that was the first year. We
- 2 did it one year before that, but it's been two years.
- 3 It's been a very successful program, and I think the
- 4 riders and the environmental community have been quite
- 5 supportive, and I think we should continue.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do I have a second?
- 7 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Second.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 9 to adjust the score to 77. All those in favor?
- 10 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 12 JIM KEELER: Thank you, Commissioners.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Item 57, please.
- 14 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 57, Colorado River
- 15 District. They requested \$90,000. They received a Page 15

- 16 score of 59, at 50 percent for a funding determination
- of \$45,000. They did submit additional information;
- 18 however, the information submitted were general
- 19 statements. It did not contain any new facts.
- 20 JIM WEIGAND: Good morning, Commissioners,
- 21 Division staff, members of the public. My name is Jim
- 22 Weigand. I'm the ecologist at the BLM California State
- 23 Office in Sacramento, and I'm here representing
- 24 Christine Bates who's the sustainable natural resource
- 25 manager for the BLM Colorado River District in Lake

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

17

- Havasu, Arizona. She manages BLM Lands in California,
- 2 San Bernardino, Riverside, and Imperial Counties along
- 3 the Colorado River.

- 4 This project is designed to draw attention to
- 5 the need for outreach and information education to the
- 6 OHV recreating public in the Sonoran and Mojave Desert
- 7 Regions of southeast California covering five BLM field
- 8 offices. And the effort here is to do a multimedia
- 9 outreach to people using a variety of methods,
- 10 including kiosks, public displays, film, and playing
- 11 cards as tools to give information to the recreating
- 12 public about natural resources of the Sonoran Mojave
- 13 ecosystems in southeastern California. A lot of the --
- 14 Some of the tools have been used previously
- 15 elsewhere in Arizona and even in Iraq. And if you
- 16 remember earlier in our meeting in November, Christine
- 17 passed around sets of cards to show the kinds of
- 18 environmental information that could be distributed to Page 16

- 19 the recreating public. These are very basic projects.
- 20 There are no frills, and they're designed to reach
- 21 people effectively and designed to protect especially
- 22 the world class archeological resources, in particular
- 23 the Blyth intaglios and other sites with archeological
- 24 import in the Lower Colorado River Valley and in the
- 25 Sonoran Desert in California. And I would be glad to

- 1 answer any questions that you have about this grant.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Are there any comments from
- 3 the public on this?
- 4 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners.
- 5 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive,
- 6 United 4-Wheel Drive Association. This is a project
- 7 that when you look at category two deserves a lot
- 8 higher score. In this particular case for
- 9 partnerships, this is one effort that is actually
- 10 crossing state lines and state BLM boundaries because
- 11 it is working with the California BLM and the Arizona
- 12 State BLM. In addition, there are numerous 4-Wheel
- 13 Drive Clubs, some in California and some in Arizona,
- 14 that are interested in working on partnerships within
- 15 this program in order to assign and identify this.
- 16 This is also part of a larger scale effort within the
- 17 Needles Field Office in order to bring an education
- 18 aspect out to the desert to provide to the winter
- 19 visitors. Most of them are retired people and that
- 20 gives them something to see and something to do of
- 21 interest in the area. So the project's curriculum is Page 17

- 22 set up to provide the educational and informational
- 23 information. So I would say that the category number
- one score should be closer to a 33, and the number two
- should be up to about a 30, thank you.

19

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners? 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Move staff 3 recommendations. COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Second. 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded. 5 All those in favor? 6 7 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.) 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries. 9 We're on to Item 60. OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 60, Los Padres 10 11 National Forest. They requested \$74,970. They 12 received a score of 55 at 50 percent for an amount of 13 \$37,485. They did submit supplemental information 14 which did contain new additional facts. 15 KAREN McKINLEY: Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Karen McKinley, Los Padres National Forest, and I'm 16 not really feeling very well this morning at all. So 17
- 18 I'll just kind focus on new material that you just
 19 passed out.
 20 A couple of things that actually are newer than
 21 what we even submitted is that we just recently added
 22 one more partner to this opportunity, which would be
 23 the Hungary Valley State Vehicle Recreation Area. We
 24 had a meeting with them earlier this week, and we're

Page 18

25 looking at trying to combine our forces in several

20

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 areas, but the education area is one that we can
- 2 actually enter into immediately. So we have one more
- 3 partner that can actually give us a point or two in
- 4 section three.
- 5 We also have on section one, this grant is of
- 6 even more importance now that we've now entered into
- 7 our second year of no law enforcement funding.
- 8 behavior begins with being able to make a contact and
- 9 education, and we are severely hampered now without
- 10 funding for our law enforcement folks. This grant will
- 11 help us start to initiate a program in the OHV
- 12 community where they haven't had one before and
- 13 leverage things that have been already been built.
- 14 Instead of starting from scratch and trying to develop
- 15 our own program, we're using programs like Right Rider
- 16 on the Trail. I can't think of all of them right at
- 17 the moment, but ones that the San Bernardino National
- 18 Forest Association started developing a few years ago,
- 19 and Cal Pals, and working with the National Off-Highway
- 20 Vehicle Council. So we're looking for an additional
- 21 five points under number one.
- 22 We're looking for an additional 12 -- this is
- 23 something that Commissioner Anderson brought up last
- 24 year -- last month, oh, it was last year -- that
- 25 perhaps -- I know I took the directions literally. 21

1	never	hac	d ar	n ed	duca	ation	gra	ant l	oefor	re, s	so i	t di	dn' t	even
2	occur	to	me	to	go	outsi	de	the	box	and	put	al I	the	othe

- 3 stuff in there. But what I do have is a lot of
- 4 experience in closing out grants, that kind of thing in
- 5 a pretty timely matter, not on this forest but on the
- 6 previous forest I came from, most of you know that. So
- 7 the other point is that within this category two, it
- 8 appears there's this checklist of items, and it just
- 9 appears -- I could be incorrect and perhaps staff could
- 10 correct me -- but that each of them had to be addressed
- 11 in order to get full points, and our grant request
- 12 doesn't apply to those things. Our grant request
- 13 focuses strictly on education of the kids primarily in
- 14 a classroom environment, but then it's some
- 15 opportunities at the Girls and Boys Club, on local
- 16 fiesta days, those kinds of things. Any questions?
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any public comments?
- 18 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John
- 19 Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs,
- 20 United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. This is one grant
- 21 that is part and parcel to what is the heart of the OHV
- 22 program. It is education. It is something that
- 23 directly affects the people on the ground. To that
- 24 extent when you're looking at the number one category,
- 25 do the services lead to enhancement or protection of

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 9

916-492-1222 Fax

22

1 existing opportunity, definitely this does. This

- 2 actually boosts the protection of the resources. It
- 3 provides educational content philosophies, and it is an
- 4 extremely efficient use of OHV funds. The partnerships
- 5 they've been able to leverage are terrific, and they're
- 6 Leveraging some partners, Leveraging with the San
- 7 Bernardino National Forest Association, which is
- 8 recognized as one of the top volunteers setups in the
- 9 country, getting that over into another forest is a
- 10 tremendous plus for the protection of resources. We
- 11 would encourage the Commission to actually go up to
- 12 closer to a 30 score on the first category because this
- 13 grant is really worthwhile. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 15 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I
- 16 just wanted to address her question on criteria two.
- 17 Actually the question was for them to address one or
- 18 more of the following. So the applicants were not
- 19 penalized if they did not address each criterion.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you for the
- 21 clarification. Commissioners, questions, comments,
- 22 motions?
- 23 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Move the staff
- 24 recommendation.
- 25 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll second it.

23

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
- 2 All those in favor?
- 3 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.

- 5 Line Item 62.
- 6 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line I tem 62, OR-2-SB-93,
- 7 San Bernardino National Forest. They requested
- 8 \$51,150. They received a score of 61, at 60 percent
- 9 for an amount of \$30,690. The applicant did submit
- 10 supplemental information, and overall if the applicant
- 11 would have submitted the original application in the
- 12 format of the supplemental information, it may have
- 13 been scored differently.
- 14 CHRIS EVANS: Good morning, Chris Evans,
- 15 San Bernardino National Forest. I've learned a lot I
- 16 think through these proceedings about how to structure
- 17 my grant application next year and make it a little bit
- 18 easier on the staff to sort through all of it. We try
- 19 to pack a lot of information into a small space, and I
- 20 think maybe we can do a little bit better job on that
- 21 next year and make it a little bit easier for
- 22 everybody.
- 23 Specifically regarding this application, we
- 24 would like to request that the score be reconsidered.
- 25 We've gone through and provided some information,

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 clarification mostly, on items and materials that were
- 2 included in the application. We feel like the original
- 3 score of 61 could be adjusted to a score of 80. I'm
- 4 going to kind of hit some high spots. There are some
- 5 other folks who want to speak on this one.
- 6 Specifically under category one, move the score
- 7 to 19 out of 35. We feel that there is information in

- 8 the application that would justify a score of 28. The
- 9 application does describe within it how this program
- 10 will reduce conflict amongst users groups, how it will
- 11 protect habitat, and how it will prevent off route
- 12 travel.
- Moving on to category three, efficient use of
- 14 OHV Trust funds, was scored a nine out of 15. We felt
- 15 it may have been worthy a 14 out of 15. As far as cost
- 16 effectiveness, it does describe in the application on
- 17 page 26, the original page 26 -- again, I apologize,
- 18 because my numbers won't match the page numbers in your
- 19 binders, but it does describe how we'll use
- 20 informational kiosks that are hosted by volunteers, and
- 21 those may be visited by up to 2,000 users on any given
- 22 day, and that's at an incredibly low cost. As I said,
- 23 they are staffed by volunteers. The majority of the
- 24 materials are donated, so the cost to the Forest or the
- 25 cost to this Commission is very minimal for quite a few 25

- 1 public contacts. We felt that deserved a score
- 2 increase based on that.
- 3 Under category four, history of successful
- 4 implementations, we scored a six out of 15. We felt
- 5 like we could have probably leveraged some more points
- 6 in that category. Completion of prior projects within
- 7 time frame provided, the application does describe on
- 8 page 26 past agreements and specifically OR-2-SB-80 and
- 9 OR--2-SB-82, their percentages are complete and the
- 10 time frames which they are completed in. History of

- 11 fiscal accountability, much like category A under the
- 12 same discussion as provided on page 26 of the original
- 13 application describing that prior agreements were
- 14 completed and that we didn't have any negative outcomes
- 15 with any of those.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comment?
- 17 BENJAMIN von DIELINGEN: Good morning, Benjamin
- 18 von Dielingen, Senior OHV Coordinator for the San
- 19 Bernardino National Forest Association. I'd like to
- 20 first of all just second basically what Chris said. I
- 21 do want to add a couple of extra bits of information,
- 22 though, just go to a little more detail.
- 23 Underneath section two, underneath D and E,
- 24 volunteer education outreach, just to give you a heads
- 25 up, I don't know if you see that in your notes or not, 26

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916

- 1 but there is distribution of OHV messages through print
- 2 media, electronic media, radio adverts occasionally as
- 3 well, that reaches approximately two million people per
- 4 month with OHV messages. And these are messages that
- 5 are, consider staying on the trail, riding
- 6 responsibility, keeping noise down, obtaining
- 7 permission to go on private land, et cetera. And then
- 8 also underneath E, other corrective measures, what they
- 9 just took from me is a program we're working on to
- 10 reach the hard to reach demographics of ages 12 to 17,
- 11 hard-to-reach recreationists in that age, usually with
- 12 positive stay on the trail, be responsible messages.
- 13 So we developed something that's kind of a little bit

- 14 edgy, a little bit different. It has a pirate theme
- 15 since pirates are quite hot right now, focuses on ages
- 16 12 through 17. It's kind of a geo-caching, treasure
- 17 hunt deal that's more or less going to con and force
- 18 children to get to the outdoors and learn about the
- 19 forest, Learn about the rules and regulations with a
- 20 chance to win a dirt bike and have a little party. And
- 21 we have Hollywood support on this. We have OHV
- 22 dealership support on this. We have support from the
- 23 National Off-Highway Vehicle Conservation Council,
- 24 Tread Lightly, the list goes on and on and on, and it's
- 25 going to be kind of a unique deal. You're also seeing

- 1 an "On the Right Trail" brochure right there which is
- 2 our school-based curriculum for younger grades who the
- 3 themes of pirates and adventure and treasure seeking
- 4 might be a little bit above their age range, a little
- 5 built beyond their grasp. So that's number E.
- 6 And then going to section three, I want to talk
- 7 about cost effectiveness real quick. Just FYI, I don't
- 8 think you caught it or not, but we do reach about two
- 9 million people per month, and that's just through press
- 10 releases, that sort of thing, be it Internet, be it
- 11 print media, whatever. But two million people per
- month just with that forum equals out to be 24 million
- 13 people a year. We are asking for \$51,150. That means
- 14 you reach 469.2 people for every dollar you spend.
- 15 Another way to look at that is one person costs the
- 16 state two/tenths of a penny to reach with OHV

- 17 recreation messages about staying on the trail and
- 18 keeping the lands pristine. That's very cost
- 19 effective. I don't know of any economics professor or
- 20 study who would say that's not cost effective.
- 21 And, finally, if you look at the very back
- 22 underneath C, it does list our partnerships. Like I
- 23 said, we have the National Off-Highway Vehicle
- 24 Conservation Council, the Sierra Club, and other OHV
- 25 dealerships and industry leaders. Thank you.

28

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 2 LACI KELLY: Hi, my name Laci Kelly. I also
- 3 work with San Bernardino National Forest Association.
- 4 I'm the deputy director, and I work directly with Ben
- 5 here on the education program and our patrol program.
- 6 And I wanted to just let you know a few of the other
- 7 things that he's doing through that program to impact
- 8 education with kids and on the ground.
- 9 Ben is part of hosting a regular TV program in
- 10 Big Bear that reaches 600 to 1,000 people eight times a
- 11 month, and it's Off-Road Adventures. And they follow
- 12 him around the forest learning about trail ethics. Ben
- 13 mentioned the bridges that he builds through his
- 14 advisory council. In addition to the Sierra Club and
- 15 the National Off-Highway Vehicle Conservation Council,
- 16 he also has chamber representation from Lake Arrowhead
- 17 and Desert Cities Honda in bringing these people
- 18 together, Digital Horizons who is a production company,
- 19 the Forest Service, public information officer and OHV

- trail manager in bringing all of these people together 20
- 21 to build bridges to protect the lands. He also has a
- 22 dealer bag program that's a "Stay on the Trail" dealer
- 23 bag that has maps, it has a forest guide in it, it has
- 24 rules and regulations where to ride. "Stay on the
- Trail" messages, Tread Lightly information, and, of 25

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 course, "On the Right Trail", how to access that
- 2 curriculum, and noise information. And just to give
- 3 you a quick sampling, Ben shared with you the many
- 4 different venues that he uses to reach people with
- 5 articles and press releases. And some of the articles
- that have been published in this last year are "Winter 6
- 7 Recreation, How the Environment is Still Fragile Under
- the Snow and Ice", "Appropriate Sizing for ATVs and 8
- 9 Motorcycles", "Riding Within Your Abilities", "Keeping
- 10 the Noise Down", and "Staying on the Trail", just to
- 11 name a few. I would support a rescoring of this entire
- 12 grant to 80 points. Thanks for listening.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 14 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone, Sound Level
- 15 Monitoring Coordinator, San Bernardino National Forest
- 16 Association. I'd like to concur. I do agree with the
- 17 scoring change rationale submitted. I'm pleased to
- 18 hear that Division seems to have indicated that they
- 19 found some significance in this, and perhaps it ought
- 20 to be bookmarked as an example of something we all
- 21 agree on is what we should be submitting next year.
- 22 looks like we all learned a lot about the scoring

- 23 rationale, and hopefully next year it will all be
- 24 submitted with the grants, and we won't have to be
- 25 redoing it all at these meetings, take a lot less time.

- 1 I do support this, and there is definitely a lot
- 2 of public interest. I support all of the rationale
- 3 that was presented by Chris Evans on the criteria. And
- 4 I believe it's worth at least probably even five or six
- 5 points more myself. There's a lot of public interest
- 6 in this program. It's a model program. Just off of
- 7 one obscure link, from the Forest Service website, I
- 8 got almost 5,000 hits on my Quiet Bike website from
- 9 people inquiring about just that one single issue
- 10 alone. So there is definitely a lot of interest in
- 11 this program. It's been moving down our forest and a
- 12 lot of people here at the meeting approached me about
- 13 getting programs and assisting them getting their own
- 14 volunteer organizations together. So this is one very
- 15 important program. The entire forest is starting to
- 16 use it as a national model and definitely needs to be
- 17 funded. I would like to see it raised to 90 points.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 20 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John
- 21 Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs,
- 22 United 4-Wheel Drive Association, Tierra del Sol
- 23 4-Wheel Drive Club. We support the San Bernardino's
- 24 request for a rescoring and would like to point out on
- 25 this that San Bernardino Forest with their volunteer

1	association is recognized as a national leader in
2	safety and education and in volunteer outreach. Part
3	of that recognition comes from the innovative ways that
4	they look to get the message out to the public.
5	Traditional messages have been focused at a
6	higher well, for an older demographic trying to
7	force the younger demographics to accept a message that
8	is tailored for an older demographic; this is one that
9	is now starting to change the way the message is being
10	put out to the public and put out to the target
11	audience in a way that the target audience can now
12	begin to relate. We agree that this is a fantastic
13	opportunity. This is something that is well
14	worthwhile, and if you're looking for an efficient use
15	of funding, this is probably one of the more efficient
16	uses of funding that will ever come up. It uses the
17	internet media, uses the new age technology in getting
18	the word out, and it's blazing trails for efforts to
19	follow in the future. This is something that we, like
20	I said, support San Bernardino's efforts and really
21	believe that this should be funded as they request.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioner
24	Anderson, you had a question for the applicant?
25	VICE-CHAIR AN9DERSON: Yes, please. Chris, I'm
	32
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 somewhat confused about the way this is integrated w	1	somewhat	confused	about	the	wav	this	is	integrated	wi
--	---	----------	----------	-------	-----	-----	------	----	------------	----

- 2 the National Forest Association. Are the projects
- 3 identified within this, are they National Forest
- 4 Service projects or are they projects that come out of
- 5 the National Forest Association?
- 6 CHRIS EVANS: Well, much like your OHV volunteer
- 7 patrol program, this is another program that we have in
- 8 partnership with the National Forest Association
- 9 through a challenge cost share agreement. We provide
- 10 funding and staffing assistance.
- 11 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. So do you have any
- 12 data at hand? And maybe I have to ask the association
- 13 representative here, but what does their overall budget
- 14 look like, and what component of that overall budget
- would this support from the Forest Service represent?
- 16 LACI KELLY: Do you mean the entire association?
- 17 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes.
- 18 LACI KELLY: We have six divisions. OHV is only
- 19 one of them, and our annual budget is around one
- 20 million per year. This is the only funding we get for
- 21 OHV.

1

- 22 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: This is the only funding
- 23 for OHV?
- 24 LACI KELLY: And I think we get about \$10,000 of
- 25 Adventure Pass money when it's available.

33

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

CHRIS EVANS: We support this program

2 specifically on the forest through appropriated money

3 and also through fee collection through the Adventure Page 30

4	Pass program.
5	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other questions or comments?
6	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: How do you pay for Ben's
7	wages? He's OHV related.
8	CHRIS EVANS: That's a really big portion of
9	what we're here asking for today. That's what the
10	majority of this goes to pay for is actually Ben's
11	salary; not to put any pressure on anyone or anything.
12	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I would like to remind
13	the Commission that Ben is a very large guy.
14	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And you're closest to him.
15	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I propose a motion. I
16	would propose we add five points to each of the four
17	categories based on the testimony that we've heard
18	bringing their score, I believe, to 81. I think there
19	is a math there in the original scoring because I think
20	the energy and the amount of enthusiasm that comes
21	behind the initial presenter is very important, and I
22	believe they're doing a good job.
23	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll second that.
24	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
25	to raise the score to
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING
	916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Four fives, then you've
2	got to check the math, raise it to 81.
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It would make it 81 if the
4	original score was 61. It's been moved and seconded to
5	raise that to 81. Any discussion?
6	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'd like some discussion. Page 31

- 7 This process is difficult because now we are weighing
- 8 safety and education against trail maintenance, and
- 9 it's all in the same pot ultimately. If you increase
- 10 scores here, against planning and against -- what was
- 11 the other category of activities -- maintenance,
- 12 operation and maintenance. So we're weighing all of
- 13 these categories against each other, and each time
- 14 someone comes before us and we say to ourselves, yes,
- 15 safety and education is a really good thing, we need to
- 16 do that, what we've done is we've racheted that score
- 17 up and we've bounced everybody that was done earlier
- 18 into a hole that's deeper. There is only so much
- 19 money, and there's only -- and you can't really weigh
- 20 apple, oranges, peaches, and figs all in one basket
- 21 because they're all important activities. But one's
- 22 winner is another's loser.
- 23 So the staff gives us these numbers as a would
- 24 be objective rating, and we're bound in some respects
- 25 by regulations to follow these numbers, or at least the 35

- 1 analytical system that creates these numbers. We go
- 2 ahead and modify it somewhat arbitrarily, based on our
- 3 sentiment and feelings about both the quality of the
- 4 application and our feelings about the subject matter.
- 5 Ultimately at the end of day, it reminds me of a single
- 6 door with 300 people trying to get through the door and
- 7 you only know that 40 will get through. But the
- 8 problem is we don't know what 40 are going to get
- 9 through because we're handing out numbers, and we don't Page 32

- 10 remember what numbers we gave earlier in the queue.
- 11 And so at the end of the day, it's going to be a random
- 12 act, 40 of you are through and 260 are not, and while
- 13 it felt good about everybody because you came before us
- 14 and we liked you and we felt your proposal was
- 15 honorable.
- 16 I indict the system. I think it's a lousy
- 17 system. I've been saying that for years. I would like
- 18 the Feds and the forests to get together and allocate
- 19 the funding and carve up the \$3.5 million that's out
- 20 there and not have everybody try to get through the
- 21 door at the same time. I would like to see some budget
- 22 for education. I don't want to be in the position of
- 23 arbitrarily -- which we're going to do is arbitrarily
- 24 reduce certain amount of education activities now.
- So I'm going to oppose the motion because I

36

- 1 can't in good conscience wipe out the trail maintenance
- 2 budget by this collective -- the number of votes that
- 3 we're taking now. Each step of the way the trail
- 4 maintenance is disappearing, and you're the riders.
- 5 I'm not a rider. You're a rider and you're wiping out
- 6 your own budget. I know you're not intending to do
- 7 that, and that's what's frustrating to me. But
- 8 hopefully we can use the baselines of the trail
- 9 maintenance budget and be aware that every time you
- 10 bump above that, you're pushing your other projects
- 11 under. I'm opposing the motion.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments or Page 33

- 13 discussion? All those in favor of the motion?
- 14 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No.
- 17 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: No.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries.
- 19 CHRIS EVANS: Thank you.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I think if there was a motion
- 21 on Mr. Thomas' comments, I think we would all vote in
- 22 favor, given our concerns and considerations.
- 23 So I think that ends the OHV safety and/or
- 24 education category. Who will be taking up the
- 25 planning? So we are at 10:06. Maybe we can get into

- 1 about three of these or four of these, and we will take
- 2 a break at about a quarter to 11:00. Will that satisfy
- 3 the transcriber this morning?
- 4 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Okay. Line 65,
- 5 OR--1-SW-44, California State Office, Desert Riparian.
- 6 They requested \$197, 200. They received a score of 51,
- 7 at 50 percent for funding determination of \$98,600.
- 8 The applicant did provide supplemental information;
- 9 however, the statements made were conclusionary and did
- 10 not contain any new facts.
- 11 JIM WEIGAND: Good morning, Commissioners,
- 12 Division staff, members of the public. My name is Jim
- 13 Weigand. I'm the ecologist at the California State
- 14 Office in Sacramento, and I would like to briefly
- 15 discuss our grant proposal here and explain its origin Page 34

- 16 briefly, as well as answer any of your questions with
- 17 regard to this grant application.
- This grant application was written in response
- 19 and to be responsive to the concerns of the public and
- 20 the OHV Commissioners about the sustainability of
- 21 riparian resources in the BLM California desert lands
- 22 and responds also to the concerns of OHV riders that
- 23 we, BLM, create, develop, maintain and monitor an OHV
- 24 transportation network that is sustainable, low impact,
- 25 and meets the access needs of the American people in

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 California. The goal here is to enrich really and
- 2 develop the partnership between all of our stakeholders
- 3 here so that we really develop solutions that everyone
- 4 can buy into, that we have a transparent system for
- 5 identifying problem areas, that we delineate the
- 6 problem areas where they occur, and that we jointly
- 7 find solutions in our common efforts to create
- 8 sustainability and modern, well-engineered OHV
- 9 transportation systems that account for protecting our
- 10 precious desert water resources. And I would be very
- 11 glad to answer any questions that the Commission might
- 12 have at this time.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comment,
- 14 and then we will go to questions.
- 15 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners.
- 16 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- 17 Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. This is one
- 18 we support the staff recommendations on. We find the Page 35

19	enti re	proj ect	at	this	poi nt	i n	time	is	too	vague	to
	0116110	p. 0, 00 t	u c		PO		C. 1111 C			v agac	

- 20 really proceed forward. There is a lot of
- 21 conclusionary statements and assumptions made here that
- 22 until they're fleshed out with something more
- 23 conclusive, it's just not an OHV-related program.
- 24 The extent of monitoring and some of this
- 25 research is something that is part and parcel to the

- 1 agency's core mission and as such should be funded more
- 2 by the agency, rather than through grants by the OHMRV
- 3 fund. It really does not impact or solve any of the
- 4 issues on the ground directly, and maybe at some later
- 5 point in time when the studies or monitoring efforts or
- 6 the actual on-the-ground work are better defined, it
- 7 would be more appropriate to look at a grant then. But
- 8 at this time, we believe staff recommendation should be
- 9 the governing thing, and we support staff
- 10 recommendations. Thank you.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition.
- 13 It's not often I agree with Commissioner Thomas. I do
- 14 appreciate his comments a couple minutes ago about the
- 15 implications of funding and increasing these planning
- 16 grants at the expense of trail maintenance, toilet
- 17 cleaning, and things like that. You've got some other
- 18 big grants coming up today and I just want to make the
- 19 Commission aware, as some of you are already, when you
- 20 increase the planning grants -- not saying planning is
- 21 not important -- you're essentially telling the GS-4, 5 Page 36

- 22 and 7s to go out and clean toilets and put up
- 23 carsonites, that their work isn't important. And
- 24 you're going to defund a vast majority of these small
- 25 project level activities if you keep increasing these

- 1 planning grants. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Next.
- 3 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Center for
- 4 Sierra Nevada Conservation and PEER. I would
- 5 absolutely support this grant, and I think that it's a
- 6 timely grant. We just passed the riparian policy. I
- 7 think we need to look at the big picture. I agree with
- 8 Mr. Thomas about the need for maintenance certainly,
- 9 but if we're looking at the big picture, and we're in
- 10 the mist of doing a strategic plan, we have to do some
- 11 planning. We're going to have a program that in the
- 12 long-term and into the future provides opportunity
- 13 that's well-managed and is not harming the environment.
- 14 And I think that this is one of those projects that's
- 15 really necessary. I mean it was important enough that
- 16 this Commission passed a riparian policy. This is the
- 17 next step in implementing it. One, it refines the
- 18 definition, it actually will probably not only protect
- 19 the environment by protecting riparian areas, but if
- 20 you read the grant, it's actually -- the intent is to
- 21 design and engineer trails to minimize the loss of
- 22 opportunity while still protecting the riparian areas.
- 23 So I would say that this is a very important project,
- 24 important grant, and if we're going to look at this Page 37

25 program in terms of long-term, I think it's one that

41

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 really needs to be funded. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 3 BRENT SCHORADT: Good morning, Brent Schoradt
- 4 with the California Wilderness Coalition. I agree with
- 5 the comments of the previous speaker, and I would like
- 6 to point out under criteria one, which says the
- 7 planning project addresses the enhancement or
- 8 protection of existing OHV opportunity, or will lead to
- 9 new opportunity, or -- and this is the part I
- 10 underlined -- protection of resources. And I think
- 11 protecting our desert riparian areas is probably one of
- 12 the best things we can do to protect endangered species
- 13 throughout the state, especially in Southern California
- 14 where we have increasing growth and development out
- 15 into open spaces across the Mojave Desert, and there
- 16 are only so many desert riparian areas. It's clear we
- 17 need to design off-highway vehicle recreation around
- 18 the state to avoid impacts to riparian areas. I think
- 19 it's critically important for the future of this sport
- 20 and for the future of this activity because as an
- 21 activist, it's very easy for me to point to a riparian
- 22 area that's been degraded by off-highway vehicles and
- 23 say, hey, is this something that the State of
- 24 California supports. And I don't think you'll find
- 25 anybody in the state who supports degrading

1	California's riparian areas, especially in the desert
2	with ATVs and other forms of off-highway vehicles.
3	So I think it's pretty clear that protection of
4	resources is going to be perceived through this grant.
5	So under category one, we give it a full scoring of 50
6	out of 50. Under category two, the application
7	demonstrates efficient use of OHV Trust funds, I think
8	when we see trails, roads in riparian areas, the number
9	one problem in terms of long-term maintenance is the
10	fact that they flood, those riparian areas. So when
11	our agencies have problems cleaning the toilets,
12	staffing throughout our public lands, why would we
13	spend money to build trails and continuously maintain
14	trails that are going to flood. And I think for the
15	long-term efficient use of OHV funds, designing trails
16	to avoid riparian areas and to minimize impacts to
17	riparian areas is crucial to efficiently using OHV
18	Trust funds. We give it full scoring of 35 out of 35
19	under category two. And that would bring the score up
20	to a total of 87. Thank you.
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
22	TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone, just want to say one
23	thing as far as planning. I think plenty of technology

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

43

funding to support them trying to figure out how to

already exists. I've taken trail planning classes

through Trails Unlimited. We don't need to do any

24

- 2 build trails out of riparian areas. I guarantee you
- 3 they already know how to do that.
- There is a concern on my part that we still need 4
- 5 to have a little more -- discussed at the last meeting,
- we need to have a little more clarification as to what 6
- we're going to be considering a riparian area before we 7
- 8 even considering using OHV funds. It is a government
- 9 responsibility. They should be providing funding like
- 10 that, just like we've heard Commissioner Thomas
- 11 lecturing us that we need to provide other government
- 12 funding for safety and education. I believe this falls
- 13 under the same category for this grant, and I would
- 14 have to agree with staff recommendations. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 16 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 17 Riders Association. I understand the riparian area is
- 18 kind of dear to at least a couple of our commissioners;
- 19 however, this is still a competitive grants process.
- 20 You have to weigh in just the information in the grants
- 21 and the way it's contained. As a member of the
- 22 audience, I heard no new facts being presented today to
- 23 warrant an increase in the staff's scoring. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners, do
- 25 you have questions of the applicant, discussion, or

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010

- 1 motion?
- 2 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: There seems to be a
- 3 little confusion amongst the public about what this
- grant actually does, and I'll just note, for those who 4

- 5 haven't seen the information recently passed out by
- 6 BLM, specifically what this grant will do. It is to
- 7 define riparian areas for common understanding among
- 8 all stakeholders, inventory ground truth, and delineate
- 9 riparian areas, create maps, et cetera. So I think the
- 10 purpose of this project is a good one. I think this
- 11 will further implementation of the policy that this
- 12 Commission passed over a year ago, and I think the
- 13 information provided by the applicant warrants a higher
- 14 score.
- 15 I'm going to make a motion. Under the first
- 16 item, I think a score of 45 is warranted based on the
- 17 information in the application and the public comments
- 18 here about the importance of these riparian areas as a
- 19 critical resource. Under the second item, I would move
- 20 a score of 35 based on the applicant's information on
- 21 the partnerships that they're already engaged in, the
- 22 list of partnerships that they provided here in the
- 23 public comments on the efficiency in this approach.
- 24 And I'll leave score number three alone for a final
- 25 score of 82, and I'll so move.

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: There is a motion on the
- 2 floor.
- 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second it.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And seconded. Any
- 5 di scussi on?
- 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It seems like there is an
- 7 awful lot of work in here to delineate riparian areas

- 8 which are available on GIS systems all over the state
- 9 and in the federal system. You can go online and look
- 10 at GIS systems that delineate riparian areas;
- 11 nevertheless, I understand the planning. I don't
- 12 understand why so much of this grant -- perhaps I would
- 13 have a better sense of the proportionality of this
- 14 grant if we had some more information, but it looks to
- 15 me like we're doing more than just delineating. And so
- 16 perhaps you could break down the elements of this? I'd
- 17 like to support this motion and to support delineation.
- 18 If this bat survey, for instance, is part of it, I
- 19 don't think I want to, but maybe I misunderstand here.
- 20 Tell me what's in this grant because it's
- 21 difficult to work through some of your paperwork that
- 22 deals with the details.
- 23 JIM WEIGAND: Sure, on the fifth page of the
- 24 grant there is a table that lists actually six
- 25 activities and six products that would come from the 46

- 1 grant that's in the original grant application.
- 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: What page?
- 3 JIM WEIGAND: I probably have a different
- 4 pagination than you do.
- 5 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: You're talking 44 out of
- 6 333 in our binders, project six, Desert Riparian
- 7 7/28/2007?
- 8 JIM WEIGAND: Yes. There is a table there. The
- 9 first column has then a list of activities, and then
- 10 the second column is the list of six products that

- 11 would come from the grant. So those are right there
- 12 for you, and I summarized those in the single sheet
- 13 that I just handed to you.
- 14 I would like to make a comment about delineation
- 15 of riparian areas. For the California deserts, there
- 16 is no uniform, accepted delineation of riparian areas.
- 17 The one exception just happened on Monday when the U.S.
- 18 Fish and Wildlife Service in Albuquerque, New Mexico
- 19 published as part of their planning for the U.S. Fish
- 20 and Wildlife refuges in the Lower Colorado River, they
- 21 have done a delineation of riparian areas from Davis
- 22 Dam to the Mexican border, and that includes a
- 23 substantial amount of BLM lands in California, too.
- 24 They took the entire Lower Colorado River watershed.
- 25 There is a small part of the Mojave watershed around

47

- 1 Afton Canyon that has been provisionally delineated by
- 2 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in Portland, Oregon.
- 3 We do not have that information. It does not exist,
- 4 and we need to be working with the water boards in the
- 5 desert and the other regulatory agencies, as well as
- 6 with the public, so that we all come to a common
- 7 understanding that we know what we're addressing here.
- 8 The idea is that BLM wants to meet its commitments both
- 9 as an agency and as a partner with the Commission to
- 10 address these, but we need to be doing it in a
- 11 transparent open way that brings everybody along with
- 12 us. It's important to find a solution to the many
- 13 engineering problems.

- I will also let you know that the solutions, the
- 15 engineering solutions are not in place at BLM. We
- 16 don't have the staff at the moment that can address
- 17 that. That was the point of the previous grant that I
- 18 spoke to, that we need to be building our capacity to
- 19 address suitable engineering solutions so that we do
- 20 avoid any damaged riparian areas and at the same time
- 21 do not compromise access to the riding public. And so
- 22 the idea is this is an effort to find and to
- 23 coordinate -- and that's what Jim and I are very
- 24 concerned about here at the state level -- to
- 25 coordinate efforts that might not otherwise happen, and

- 1 again working close with partners at the state and
- 2 regional level so that we can make progress and solve
- 3 some of the problems that Commissioner Thomas
- 4 originally highlighted.
- 5 And I would also like to state that we really
- 6 also will work very closely with the U.S. Forest
- 7 Service in their desert watersheds because a lot of the
- 8 time those watersheds cross national forests to BLM
- 9 lands, particularly in the San Bernardino National
- 10 Forest and the Inyo National Forest. So again this is
- 11 an effort that is bigger than BLM. We need to be
- 12 responding, and we need to be a partner with the folks
- 13 here in this room. And, again, if that table isn't
- 14 clear to you about what we wanted to accomplish, I
- 15 would be glad to address that in greater detail for
- 16 you. Thank you.

2007-26-01 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you. 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments, 19 questi ons? 20 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Comment. I recognize the 21 need for this, but I'm wondering about whether we're 22 ready to take that step right now and gather this 23 information. I would be inclined to defer this grant, 24 and I don't know how we do that under our regulations. 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Rationale for deferring. 49 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Let me try something. 2 the delineation work is not to be produced for a year, 3 how long is it going to take for a work product, just bri efl y? 4 JIM WEIGAND: We would want to be getting that 5 6 done within a year, yes. We would have a proposal of 7 delineation for you. 8 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So assuming this is going 9 to take a year to come up with standards or come up with a map, really is all we're talking about, it's 10 11 timely because by the time we make up our minds, you'll 12 finally get a map out there. There was always an 13 assumption that there was a map out there. I'm amazed 14 that the Bureau of Land Management has no map of where 15 water is in the desert. The Department of Water 16 Resources have had maps since 1908 where water is in 17 California, and you have no maps where streams are? 18 JIM WEIGAND: We definitely have maps, but what

we don't have maps of are the delineation of the

- 20 riparian areas. That's a width around a body of water,
- 21 and that is a classification, and that's subjective.
- 22 So we need to make sure that we're all on board with
- 23 the same definition of what that classification is.
- 24 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Do we with a motion?
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We have a motion and a

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

50

1 second.

- 2 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: My only comment would be
- 3 I think, again, this is very vague, and I think with
- 4 all of the riparian conversation that's going on, I
- 5 would only support staff's recommendation. And I can't
- 6 believe an agency the size of BLM can't come up with a
- 7 couple hundred thousand dollars to take the maps -- I
- 8 agree with Hal -- that they currently have and do
- 9 whatever they're going to do with them because it's
- 10 fuzzy.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. Any other comments?
- 12 All those in favor?
- 13 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 15 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
- 16 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: For the record, my vote is
- 18 highly contingent on some biological understanding
- 19 that's accepted in the professional communities. If
- 20 you guys come back here and delineate according to some
- 21 standard that you made up in the year, that would be a
- 22 breach of faith. And you understand it and I

- 23 understand, I'll leave it at that.
- 24 JIM WEIGAND: Thank you, Commissioner Thomas.
- 25 We are obliged to follow the delineations established

- 1 by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Item number 66,
- 3 pl ease.
- 4 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 66, OR--1-SW-343,
- 5 California State Office. They asked \$578,500. They
- 6 received a score of 46, at 40 percent for an amount of
- 7 \$231,400. The applicant did provide supplemental
- 8 information; however, the information submitted were
- 9 general statements and did not contain any new factual
- 10 information.
- 11 JIM KEELER: Good morning, Commissioners and
- 12 staff, Jim Keeler, California BLM. This grant is the
- 13 fourth, I believe, year of an effort that the
- 14 Commission has funded for a number of years that we
- 15 have utilized these funds to collect road and trail
- 16 inventory information throughout a good part of the BLM
- 17 lands in California. It's a much quieter program than
- 18 you've heard from the Forest Service, but we've
- 19 actually utilized this data to update land use plans in
- 20 about six of our field offices, and we're continuing
- 21 that effort right now into the Bakersfield area. We're
- 22 going to start on a plan there. So we'd like to
- 23 continue this program for another year.
- 24 That being said, tab number two under planning,
- 25 we did send in the sheet that the Division mentioned.

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 And we're sorry, it is just difficult for us to
- 2 understand what factual information that we didn't
- provide the first time, and we really had nothing 3
- additional to add in terms of actual stuff. 4
- COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Mr. Keeler, if you could 5
- address your comments to the Commission. 6
- 7 JIM KEELER: I'm sorry. It was just difficult
- 8 to figure out what was missing from our score the first
- 9 And I guess that we didn't come any closer to
- 10 doing that the second time.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Let me help you out.
- 12 JIM KEELER: Thank you.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Why don't you explain to
- 14 the Commission why you believe you warrant a higher
- 15 score, if you think you do.
- JIM KEELER: The score for number one, we got a 16
- 17 30 out of 50 for addressing the enhancement protection
- of existing resources. It was a difficult stretch to 18
- talk about how collecting GIS data adds to protection, 19
- 20 but we're trying to articulate that an inventory is
- 21 really the baseline that you start both for planning
- 22 and for resource management. So we were looking at a
- 23 40 out of 50 rather than the 30 that we got there.
- 24 Efficient use of trust funds in this case, we've
- 25 honed a project down now from a five-person crew to a

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 two-person crew, and we feel that we're collecting
- 2 extremely good data now at a very good cost. We
- 3 haven't utilized volunteers as much as we should,
- 4 partly because it is difficult for people to trust the
- 5 data so we have felt so far that if it's under our
- 6 control, that both sides of any debate would feel that
- 7 at least there was an objective collector, if not
- 8 somebody they believed in. So we recommended a 21 out
- 9 of 35 rather than the 16 we got there.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Let me interrupt you,
- 11 we're looking for the original grant. It's actually
- 12 entitled, "Project Three, Statewide Inventory"?
- 13 JIM KEELER: That is correct. SW-43, if that
- 14 helps you.
- 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That doesn't help. You've
- 16 got to give us the page in the book so we can follow
- 17 along with what you're proposing, but we found it.
- 18 JIM KEELER: I'll try to have that one for my
- 19 next presentation. I don't need to read through the
- 20 rest of this. The rationale sheet I think provides
- 21 everything that I'm trying to say. I would be happy to
- take questions.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any public comment on this
- 24 particular grant application?
- 25 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 Riders Association. And as with what the previous
- 2 speaker was saying, if this is going to parallel the
- 3 U.S. Forestry Route Designation Program, this is Page 49

- 4 something, once again, they should be going to
- 5 Washington, D.C., not Sacramento to get some funding.
- 6 I don't know of any business or organization that does
- 7 not know what their own inventory is. They shouldn't
- 8 be coming to us to help find out what their own
- 9 inventory is. They should have that just as fact. So
- 10 I'd go with Division's recommendations on the scoring
- 11 on this. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 13 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone, I would like to add
- 14 on to Bruce Brazil's remarks. It's just a basic error
- in responsibility to have mapping, as far as any agency
- 16 doing anything, whether it's state, city, local,
- 17 federal or other form of government. It's their
- 18 responsibility to have maps. It's just like with the
- 19 route inventory, it was a congressional mandate to have
- 20 an inventory and come up with the managed system.
- 21 Congress should have provided the funding.
- 22 And I have to feel the same way about this.
- 23 know it is an extremely important aspect. I've been
- 24 involved with undergrounds where we've had shaky
- 25 mapping, and I understand how much of a bother it is,

- but it's just this responsibility of whatever
- 2 government agency is managing whatever land to provide
- 3 the mapping for it. And I feel that way about this
- 4 grant, and I feel this way about their route inventory
- 5 for the Forest Service and the BLM or any level of
- 6 government. Thank you. And I'd like to see the grant Page 50

7	left at staff recommendations.
8	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
9	BRENT SCHORADT: Brent Schoradt with the
10	California Wilderness Coalition, and I'd like to just
11	highlight the importance of GIS data in terms of
12	resource protection because the reason why the BLM and
13	the Forest Service don't have a clear picture of all of
14	the routes that exist on their lands is because of the
15	proliferation of unplanned routes and the proliferation
16	of illegal user created routes that has really been
17	inflicted upon the State of California by the
18	incredible growth of off-road vehicle recreation. And
19	I think before we can manage the land, we need to know
20	where the problems are. We need to know where routes
21	are occurring.
22	And in terms of efficient use of funds, once we
23	have a clear picture of where people are allowed to
24	ride first of all, before you can even say where you
25	can and cannot ride, you have to know where people are 56
	COPURE REPORTING A LEGAL CORVING

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

gets a snapshot of what's out there on the ground,
which facilitates future planning efforts to put in
place a clear system of routes that says this is where
riders can go, this is where riders can't go.

riding right now. And so what this project does is

1

And under category one, in terms of enhancing

OHV opportunity, I think when folks are out riding on

trails, it would be nice to know what's allowed to be

ridden on and what's not allowed to be ridden on. And

Page 51

- 10 before the BLM can move forward with those
- 11 designations, they need to know the information on the
- 12 ground where that's occurring. And also before the BLM
- 13 can move forward with restoration projects which
- 14 protect the resources, which is also under category
- one, they need to know where illegal routes have
- 16 inflicted damage on the resources. And before they can
- 17 restore areas, they've got to be able to clearly map
- 18 out where illegal routes are across the landscape. I
- 19 think having this GIS data is really gold in terms of
- 20 resource protection and in terms of OHV opportunity.
- 21 We recommend a 50 out of 50 score on category one.
- 22 And also under category two, with efficient use
- 23 of OHV funds -- I mentioned this just previously, once
- 24 we have a clear picture of where things are, this will
- 25 allow the restoration efforts to move forward as well 57

- 1 because we'll know where restoration needs to take
- 2 place, and where signing needs to take place, and where
- 3 education needs to take place. So we recommend 35 out
- 4 of 35 on category two, which would bring the new score
- 5 up to 85. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you, next.
- 7 JOHN STEWART: John Stewart California
- 8 Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs, United 4-Wheel
- 9 Drive Associations. I look at this and say de jevu all
- 10 over again. We have been looking at these snapshots of
- 11 route inventory for the last 15 to 20 years. We have
- 12 been talking about GIS inventories for the last five to Page 52

- 13 ten years. So as technology is improving, yes, we'd
- 14 like to see some technology being applied to make the
- 15 job easier, quicker, but the entire job has been in
- 16 progress and we just keep reiterating the same
- 17 arguments over and over again. If only we had a
- 18 snapshot of what's there. They get money for a
- 19 snapshot, produce a snapshot, two years later they're
- 20 back, oh, if only we had a snapshot. So it's like
- 21 trying to hit a moving target.
- 22 Right now this grant, based on the merits of how
- 23 it was scored, we support staff recommendations. It
- 24 was not a well-written grant and kind of ill conceived
- as to making it into a well-written grant with no new

- 1 factual information provided. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 3 KYRA: Kyra, Nevada County Woods Riders. I'm
- 4 with John Stewart on this, that there's been a lot of
- 5 trail GIS data. I myself have gone out to do that in
- 6 Nevada County. As volunteers we do that, so I'm kind
- 7 of doing this backwards, I'm nervous.
- 8 On part two, in Nevada County, we've used
- 9 volunteers to do a majority of that work and meetings,
- 10 and I think they can do the same thing down south. And
- 11 the other thing we've seen in Nevada County is by
- 12 getting more GIS data and then publicizing it on the
- 13 web, those areas get a larger impact, areas that
- 14 weren't ready for that impact. So by getting the data
- 15 and publishing it too soon, I understand we want to Page 53

- 16 sign it, we want to protect it, but the impact comes on
- 17 too heavy and too quick without even being -- as
- 18 volunteers do it, we're on those trails, we're
- 19 maintaining those trails. We've got a really good idea
- 20 of -- we don't want to destroy the trails either. So
- 21 volunteers can do a lot. I support staff
- 22 recommendations.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Comments?
- 24 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Stewart, you didn't
- 25 start early enough in your iteration of how long this

- 1 has been around. This is a very infuriating topic to
- 2 me because at least in the California Desert District
- 3 in 1980, when the desert plan was adopted, the Bureau
- 4 of Land Management had, I believe, four years to come
- 5 up with the routes that they were going to have. And
- 6 so I've been watching this route designation process in
- 7 the California desert start, stop, start over, stop,
- 8 start over, stop, start over, stop, start over, begin
- 9 again, we need more information, we need a higher level
- 10 of technology. And, of course, as he mentioned, it is
- 11 a moving target. But I'm sorry, I've lost my patience
- 12 with we need GIS data.
- 13 I think that their designation process, which
- 14 is, again, not fully completed, but is working out at
- 15 least within the desert areas under the new Northeast
- 16 and West Mojave and Colorado Desert regional planning
- 17 that the BLM was doing, I would be interested to hear
- 18 how it is that the other districts in California have Page 54

- 19 avoided completing their route designations. They were
- 20 not on the accelerated time frame of the Desert
- 21 District, but I see this sort of -- and I'm sorry, I
- 22 usually support a lot of what the environmental
- 23 community is asking for, but I sort of see this as
- 24 money down the rat hole, and it's not achieving
- 25 anything that I can see that's concrete and on the

60

- 1 ground and of use to riders or to the environmental
- 2 community. It's just too many years, I'm sorry. No
- 3 pati ence.
- 4 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: That was very well
- 5 stated. I agree very much with what you said there. I
- 6 also want to applaud the young lady who came up. Thank
- 7 you for giving your time, and I would make a motion
- 8 that we accept staff's recommendation on this.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do I have a second?
- 10 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll second that.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Under discussion.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I have some comments. I
- 13 think we're really at risk here of painting with far
- 14 too broad a brush. I understand the frustration with
- 15 inventories, but let's take a look at where we've come
- 16 from and where we are in terms of route designation on
- 17 BLM lands in California.
- 18 With the assistance of the inventories that have
- 19 been, in part, supported by this Commission, the BLM
- 20 has for the first time ever completed route designation
- 21 on the vast majority of its ten million acres of Page 55

- 22 holdings in the desert. A lot of us have waited a long
- 23 time. I know others have waited a lot longer than I
- 24 have for that process to be completed. And in the last
- 25 two to three years, it has been completed. The

61

- 1 Hollister Field Office has completed route designation
- 2 for a significant portion of its lands based on the
- 3 inventories that this Commission has provided. The
- 4 Ukiah Field Office has completed route designation on a
- 5 significant portion, if not all, of its lands based on
- 6 the inventory that this Commission has supported. The
- 7 Eagle Lake Alturas in Susanville Field Offices are in
- 8 the process of completing route designation for close
- 9 to 2.7 million acres of land based on inventories that
- 10 this Commission has supported. This has all occurred
- 11 in the past three to four years.
- So to express a general frustration that we
- 13 don't like inventories and we've inventoried to death,
- 14 I think really short shrifts the good work that BLM has
- done in completing the route designation that we have
- 16 encouraged them to do. And the importance of these
- 17 inventories in making that process work properly in the
- 18 desert route designation, one of the main impediments
- 19 to completing route designation in the desert, to my
- 20 understanding, is the lack of an adequate inventory.
- 21 Once that information gap was completed, the BLM
- 22 completed the route designation process. Bakersfield
- 23 is one the few remaining offices in the state that
- 24 doesn't have a route designation done, and they're Page 56

25 planning to do that next, and they need this inventory 62

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1	tο	do	i +
	1()	(1()	

- 2 So I think that we need to take a step back here
- 3 and look at a program that's actually working and
- 4 support it. And I think this grant warrants an
- 5 increased score. I'm going to make an amendment to the
- 6 motion to increase the score under category one from 30
- 7 to 45, based on the comments I just gave and the
- 8 information in the application. And under the second
- 9 category, increase the score from 16 to 25.
- 10 And in the final category, I guess it's hard for
- 11 me to fathom giving the BLM a score of zero for
- 12 successful implementation of prior projects, in
- 13 particular based on their success in completing these
- 14 inventories and completing the route designations.
- 15 think the State Office has done a fine job implementing
- 16 the projects that we've given them. I guess I have a
- 17 hard time understanding this Commission saying, the BLM
- 18 has done an inadequate job implementing the grants that
- 19 we provided them, and yet that seems to be what you're
- 20 saying. I'll recommend scores of four on each of those
- 21 for a score of 12. And I don't know what that adds up
- 22 to, and that would be my proposed amendment. The final
- 23 score of 82, and that's my amendment.
- 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll second it for
- 25 di scussi on.

1	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we have an amendment to
2	the motion. And under discussion?
3	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Will the maker of the
4	motion explain which offices are going to complete the
5	work with the money that is in this grant. I have the
6	map in front of me. I tracked through your statement
7	as to who had finished and who had not, and what are we
8	getting is my question? Are we getting the Bakersfield
9	Office route designation complete, the Ridgecrest
10	office? You can't tell from these shadings.
11	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: It's my understanding
12	that the focus will be on the Bakersfield Office which
13	is next to update its land management plan and complete
14	route designation.
15	But perhaps, Mr. Keeler, you could address that
16	in more detail.
17	JIM KEELER: That is correct. The next project
18	is Bakersfield, and we are still doing some ongoing
19	work in the California desert.
20	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: In the California desert.
21	JIM KEELER: We started the land use planning in
22	the desert before we had any of this funding, so we
23	still feel that there is some need for some updates in
24	Palm Springs and some of the other offices for areas
25	that were not as well inventoried as we'd like to do.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 2 some plans upcoming in San Diego and the South Coast
- 3 Office, as well.
- 4 JIM KEELER: Those are both done at this point.
- 5 We've already done those with previous planning.
- 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So will you be done with
- 7 Bakersfield at the end of this grant?
- 8 JIM KEELER: Yes, probably.
- 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Not probably, will you be?
- 10 That's my question.
- 11 JIM KEELER: Yes.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And that's detailed in
- 13 your --
- 14 JIM KEELER: I don't know what is in the grant
- 15 at this point, but yes.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Who do you address the
- 17 frustration of 26 years of Commissioner Anderson with
- 18 regards to the southern section of this wonderful
- 19 state?
- 20 JIM KEELER: The California Desert District
- 21 encompasses 25 percent of California, and it's been
- 22 difficult. Before GIS and GPS technology came out, it
- 23 was much more difficult to do the work. The country
- 24 tends to be wide open. There are a lot of trails
- 25 through it that are expert trails that you don't have

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 9

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 adequate staff to actually go collect that data. And
- 2 the other piece of this is that in a lot of cases, our
- 3 inventories previous to GIS and GPS were on hardcopy
- 4 maps. Our data is now on GIS data layers. So we have

- 5 to go back and actually either digitize the materials
- 6 we have, or digitize from maps, or recollect the data.
- 7 And we've chosen in a lot of cases recollecting, seems
- 8 to be a better. We're using now a 50 attribute data
- 9 dictionary that not only gives us the line on the map,
- 10 but also tells us the level of difficulty, the
- 11 condition, and all of the intersections they've
- 12 encountered. So it's just been a far more difficult
- 13 job I think than anybody originally in Washington when
- 14 they gave BLM six months or all of the federal agencies
- 15 six months to do an inventory of all of the federal
- 16 lands, nobody has achieved that yet. And it's just a
- 17 far more daunting task that anybody can anticipate
- 18 looking at it from the beginning.
- 19 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, I'm looking
- 20 through this application. I still don't see the output
- 21 that identifies that the Bakersfield route designation
- 22 will be completed as part of this project. I would be
- 23 happy to see that -- if that's an amendment, I don't
- 24 know how we can -- we can condition the grant, is that
- 25 the vehicle? We've got a vote that's sitting next to

- 1 me, and I agree with her, we want to see an output.
- 2 And you say you have an anticipated timeline here, but
- 3 that's not an output. That's not a promise. It's a
- 4 hope.
- 5 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: This is not dissimilar
- 6 from the grants that we've given to the Forest Service
- 7 over the past three or four years. The process needs

- 8 time to play out. The first step in the process is an
- 9 inventory. If you asked the Forest Service three years
- 10 ago to condition the grants for inventory funding on
- 11 the completion of route designation for all of its
- 12 forests as a part of that grant, they'd say they're not
- 13 able to do that. And I don't think it's fair to ask an
- 14 applicant here to do that either. This is for an
- 15 inventory. The inventory will lead to route
- 16 designation. We've seen that work on 13 of the 15
- 17 million acres of BLM land in the state. They finally
- 18 are completing route designation. They have a model
- 19 for doing it. It's a successful model. You'll see it
- 20 soon in the northeast part of the state, and we hope we
- 21 will see it soon in Bakersfield. I think the BLM has
- shown that their model works, and the first step is an 22
- 23 inventory. And that's what they're here asking for
- 24 support for, and I think their application warrants
- 25 that support.

67

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010

877-453-1010

- COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Where does the application 1
- indicate the inventory output? I don't see it here 2
- 3 either. I'm insistent on, at least for my vote, that
- we have a product. And if BLM and the maker of the 4
- 5 motion can show me.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Mr. Keeler, can you
- 7 describe the product that will result?
- JIM KEELER: The product that we've been 8
- 9 generating -- and I wish that I had an opportunity
- before this point to publicize this with you or discuss 10

- 11 this with you, Commissioner Thomas.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: This is why we do the
- 13 public process, sir. You're supposed to put it here
- 14 and give it to us.
- 15 JIM KEELER: It's a very difficult thing to
- 16 communicate within a page limit and try to answer the
- 17 questions given.
- 18 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: They're giving you some
- 19 time to hopefully do that, so go.
- 20 JIM KEELER: What we have been generating is a
- 21 metadata layer for our state GIS inventory. What that
- 22 really means is that GIS, global inventory systems, are
- 23 stacked maps. It's like the old quad maps with 17
- 24 layers of mylar on top of it. And in this case what it
- 25 is is a well-attributed layer that shows where the

68

- 1 routes cross the landscape.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner Anderson.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: All right. From the
- 4 comments of Mr. Spitler of his knowledge of the status
- 5 of inventories and other things I've heard here, I'm
- 6 willing to support funding route designation for
- 7 Bakersfield. I'm not convinced that there's the same
- 8 level of need in the other areas. Perhaps there is,
- 9 but I haven't been convinced of that. So if we had
- 10 some way of indicating that the funds would go towards
- 11 addressing the electronic layer, which is a product in
- 12 my definition, for Bakersfield I would be willing to
- 13 support that.

2007-26-01 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I'm happy to include in 14 15 the motion a provision that the priority be to the 16 Bakersfield area. 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Could you detail the product that's expected for the record so I could have 18 19 some comfort? Because it's not in the print that I 20 could find, unless you point out a page and a line. 21 JIM KEELER: What I would be capable of 22 providing is the baseline data that we've collected and 23 at least a progress report on the planning that's 24 resulting from that layer. And if the Commission takes an interest in it, I would be happy to bring more 25 69 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 materials. It's just often difficult to get time --2 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think you've heard an 3 interest in that. JIM KEELER: 4 0kay. 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments or discussion under the amendment to the motion? 6 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I just want to be 7 careful that we don't -- if we can't find exactly what 8 9 people think they're voting on here, we've got to be 10 careful, taking the advice of Hal earlier, that we 11 don't somehow mess up either trail maintenance or other 12 things that we've already looked at that are very 13 definable, and the other on-the-ground stuff that we 14 know of we can put our hands on. This is like smoke 15 and mirrors. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner Spitler. 16

2007-26-01 17 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I don't want to get into a back and forth. I just want to make a general 18 19 comment that that approach is really not appropriate 20 for the competitive process. We're reviewing an 21 application that's before us. The impact that a score on that application may have on any other application 22 23 is really not relevant, and it's not fair to the 24 applicant that's before us. It's not fair to the 25 competitive process. It violates the competitive 70 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 process. You're free to have in the back of your head 2 thoughts on how your vote here might affect other 3 grants, but they should remain in your head because this is specifically a process that evaluates an 4 application based on the criteria before it. 5 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: 6 I agree. Mr. McMillin, you may not 7 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 8 remember, but Mr. Spitler and I have disagreed about a
- 9 speech that was given years ago, and we continue to disagree.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: They still get along. I just
- 12 have an overarching comment with regards to BLM's
- 13 efforts over the past number of years putting aside the
- 14 frustration in the southern portion of the state. They
- 15 have pursued inventorying and to some degree route
- 16 designation without a substantial amount of money from
- 17 this commission, as opposed to the Forest Service which
- 18 has gotten a significant amount to do the same project.
- 19 So even though I'm a little concerned about the

- 20 vagueness of this particular application, I will
- 21 support the amendment to make certain that they go
- 22 forward to complete both inventory and route
- 23 designation hand in hand with the Forest Service, and
- 24 that they are to be commended for producing some of
- 25 their own resources to complete this.

71

- 1 So without further ado, I call for you to vote
- 2 on the amendment. And all those in favor?
- 3 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 5 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
- 6 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: For the main motion, all
- 8 those in favor?
- 9 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 11 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
- 12 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries with the
- 14 amendment.
- 15 Our trusty scribe is wearing down. It is now
- 16 eleven o'clock. If we could take about a 15-minute
- 17 break, we'll come back to public forum. And for those
- 18 of you who have not had a chance, public forum is for
- 19 those items that are not on the agenda. So please fill
- 20 out a blue card and submit it to Mardi at the first
- 21 desk to my right. Thank you.
- 22 (Break taken in proceedings.)

- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: On the public forum we have
- 24 two cards, so it should be brief. We'll start with
- 25 Bruce Brazil, and then there is Narvell Conner. I'll

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010

- 1 call on you, John, not to worry. So since you're
- 2 there, let's begin, Bruce.
- 3 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 4 Rider Association. Reading through a lot of the grants
- 5 packages, I notice that something that comes up that
- 6 the Division wouldn't have noticed being that they just
- 7 look at one individual grant rather than the whole
- 8 grants package. And one package in particular is from
- 9 BLM Barstow that kind of brings out the point that I
- 10 want to do.
- 11 And that's if you only look at one grant, you
- 12 may not see something that is kind of duplicated in
- 13 other grants from the same agency. On this one in
- particular, BLM Barstow, they've got requests -- and 14
- this point it's mostly for the markers, kiosks, and 15
- 16 si gni ng.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Bruce, I'm going to interrupt
- 18 you. This has been agendized already, so you don't
- 19 need to speak.
- BRUCE BRAZIL: This one -- because I could not 20
- 21 bring it up on any of the individual grants, because
- 22 BLM Barstow grants have not come up for comment, that's
- 23 why I wanted to do it at this point.
- CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 0kay. 24
- 25 BRUCE BRAZIL: And it's going over several

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We will be reviewing the
- 3 grant process at the end of today's reviews. If you
- 4 want to provide input as to how we do that, that would
- 5 be great at that time.
- 6 BRUCE BRAZIL: I can wait then, okay. Thank
- 7 you.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Following Bruce,
- 9 we have Norvell. Please come to the podium, and then
- 10 Mr. Stewart.
- 11 NARVELL CONNER: Good morning, my name is
- 12 Narvell Conner, and I'm here today as John Doe public.
- 13 My objective to coming to December's meeting and to
- 14 this meeting was to find out as best I could where the
- 15 Green Sticker and where the gas tax money is going.
- 16 I'll give you a little background on myself, I
- 17 purchased my first Trail 90 motorcycle in 1997. And
- 18 over the years I have used OHV equipment to enjoy the
- 19 outdoors and to keep my sanity. I also have climbed to
- 20 the top of Mount Gotter and been on top of The Seven
- 21 Gables in my younger days, but I can't do any of that
- 22 anymore. And, by the way, I just realized some cell
- 23 phone calls came through a minute ago, and I share your
- 24 wife's birthday. Today's my birthday. I turn 65, and
- 25 Social Security here I come.

1	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Happy birthday, Norvell.
2	NARVELL CONNER: So in my later years, I've
3	resorted to something that fits me. I have settled on
4	a four-wheel drive quad. It's much safer, and to me it
5	is the most environmentally safe piece of equipment
6	that I could use to enjoy the High Sierras. Just three
7	years ago I began organizing a group of friends to
8	enjoy the outdoors. On an average of about once a week
9	we ride. With one exception, all of us are over 60
10	years old, so we're having fun.
11	Last year we decided to team up with the Forest
12	Service as volunteers and officially do work while we
13	enjoyed the national forest. The group that we put
14	together is called the CCQR, Central California Quad
15	Riders. As the trails and dirt roads become open in
16	the spring, last year we found that a lot of trees were
17	down and rocks were in the road. And what we would do
18	is we would e-mail the condition with pictures back to
19	the trail maintenance person at the Forest Service
20	office. They would tell me there is little to no money
21	to clean the trails and remove the downed trees and
22	debris. Eventually, they would get to it.
23	So three of us on our own time and expense
24	completed the forestry chainsaw class. We have the
25	certificates to prove that. Now we can clear the 75
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fa:

- 1 roads, clean the downed trees and debris, so Forest
- 2 Service vehicles, fire equipment, search and rescue,
- 3 and the public can travel without delays. I have a Page 68

	2007-26-01
4	couple of three pictures I want to pass on. I wanted
5	to get 13 copies of this, but my Epsom printer ran out
6	of ink, so let me kind of hold them up, and I'll leave
7	them here. You can see them later.
8	This particular one is typical of what we run
9	into when we're out there. Here is a tree that's
10	fallen across the road, and we carry a chainsaw with us
11	now, and we can remove that, clear the road, so it's
12	open for the Forest Service and other vehicles when
13	they come through. Here's one that's a little bit
14	larger. This one was laying flat on the ground, so we
15	were able to handle this one. But sometimes they get a
16	little bit bigger than that, so what we do is we send
17	those back to the Forest Service so they can use heavy
18	equipment to remove those. We run into the spring of
19	the year, the snow and ice and trees and things have
20	knocked down all of these signs. We dig holes and put
21	all of the signs back up, and we do all kinds of work
22	for the service in repairing a lot of their equipment.
23	Every trip we make in, without exception, we

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

on the front end of our quad, we carry a box. With the little picker upper things that you've seen, we can go along and pick up all of this trash, and we've hauled

collect trash, garbage, beer cans, diapers. You name

it, we've got it, we could collect it. What we do is 76

24

25

4 out bag, after bag, after bag. Take it home, recycle

5 it, run everything through the recycle as we possibly

6 can, throw the remainder into our gray container, and Page 69

- 7 it's garbage that goes away.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mr. Conner, normally I would
- 9 have you summarize because the red light came on some
- 10 time ago, but in honor of your birthday and in honor of
- 11 your efforts, continue.
- 12 NARVELL CONNER: Let me hasten. This year we
- 13 hope to double our group to about 20. I've said all of
- 14 that to say this: Please don't lump all of the quad
- 15 riders in the bad and the ugly category. There are a
- 16 few of us that wear white hats. Yes, there are some
- 17 renegades out there that cause problems, and I would
- 18 like to take their equipment and do -- you know what
- 19 I'd like to do with it. Every group has bad apples.
- 20 You know, I've been on the Pacific Crest Trail, and
- 21 I've seen candy wrappers and all of that there. I
- 22 followed a jeep out of the Urshum Trail, and there's
- 23 beer can after beer can that was there. And I picked
- 24 them up, dumped the fresh beer out, the remainder of
- 25 it, finally caught up with the jeeps that were riding 77

- 1 it, and believe you me, they were not part of any of
- 2 the four-wheel drive clubs that's out there, you could
- 3 tell by their size.
- 4 I've given thought to the different groups and
- 5 how they can help keep the forest clean. Everybody
- 6 does their own part. The backpackers, they couldn't
- 7 collect something like this, they couldn't carry it
- 8 out. The people that ride horses, no way they can do
- 9 this. Bicycle people, they couldn't. Jeeps, if I was Page 70

- 10 driving a jeep, I probably wouldn't stop, set the
- 11 brake, get out, pick up a can. But we as quad riders,
- 12 we're able to do this, but hastening on.
- 13 In America, it is the law that a person is
- 14 innocent until proven guilty. There is a large group
- 15 of quad riders that would appreciate that
- 16 consideration. Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Mr. Stewart.
- 18 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John
- 19 Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs,
- 20 United 4-Wheel Drive Associations, and Tierra del Sol
- 21 4-Wheel Drive Club of San Diego. We're in times that
- 22 we're sure of one thing, change. We know that people
- 23 are slow to adapt change. We find that within
- 24 San Diego County we have some issues that are coming up
- 25 that are very interesting.

78

- 1 There are two primary federal landowners or
- 2 federal landholders within San Diego County, Bureau of
- 3 Land Management and the U.S. Forest Service. Within
- 4 the Bureau of Land Management, management of the public
- 5 lands and San Diego County is split between two
- 6 different field offices, El Centro and Palm Springs.
- 7 So here you have a two desert driven desert knowledge
- 8 base people now managing the critical habitat for the
- 9 multiple species habitat conservation plan in San Diego
- 10 County and the coastal shrub type habitat. I'd like to
- 11 suggest to the BLM that this is one area where they
- 12 could look into efficiencies and develop a better Page 71

13	office management plan and coordinate both of the
14	splits in San Diego County into a central office to
15	better provide for the public recreation opportunities.
16	The one overarching thing within San Diego
17	County in fact, it's something that spreads the
18	entire Length of the U.S./Mexican border is the
19	influence of the Department of Homeland Security. And
20	there is a significant impact to recreation
21	opportunities because lands along the border areas are
22	now being fenced off, being cordoned off in the guise
23	of homeland security. What is happening and one of the
24	fallouts of this is that recreation interests are being
25	blamed for damage to the environment that is done by 79

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

drug smuggling activities, by illegal alien trafficking 1 2 activities, and by the very border patrol themselves in 3 their pursuit to apprehend the smugglers. This is an issue that needs to be addressed, it needs to be looked 4 5 at in a proper management forum. And within this, we do know -- and, in fact, I 6 7 advocated within the agencies, that they do more 8 planning for recreation. Looking at the BLM with their 9 California Desert District, they're now starting to 10 work together to come up with and have developed a 11 consistent special recreation permit process used 12 across the Desert District now. This is spreading 13 throughout the entire California BLM office. 14 But this is just one effort in the steps of

working together, forming partnerships within the Page 72

- 16 agencies. And when we do this, the agencies, as the
- 17 BLM or the Forest Service, cannot work alone. They
- 18 have to work together. They have to work with the
- 19 state, not only do they have to work with the state,
- 20 they have to start looking across state lines with
- 21 partnerships with Arizona and Nevada, in our bordering
- 22 states, all to develop a recreation program that
- 23 services the needs of the growing recreation demand.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.

80

- 1 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Listening to the last couple
- 2 of years of grant meetings, I have seen increasingly,
- 3 while we talk about strategic planning, we're getting
- 4 further and further away from doing things
- 5 strategically. And it's reflected, I think, in great
- 6 detail in the grant requests. We have agencies
- 7 competing within themselves. We all know there is a
- 8 limited pot of money. We have more and more grantees
- 9 requesting money. And as a result, rather than -- I
- 10 think we're getting further and further from the big
- 11 picture. And I'm hoping that the strategic planning
- 12 will address that. We need to decide what projects are
- 13 best done on a programmatic level and which are best
- 14 accomplished at a local level. And I think the agency
- 15 needs to have that discussion among themselves, within
- 16 themselves. I think we also need to have it here and
- 17 amongst stakeholders and between the Division and
- 18 public and the Division and Commission, and hopefully Page 73

- 19 that will all take place as part of the strategic
- 20 pl anni ng.
- 21 I think really the last couple of days have
- 22 really pointed -- I mean at this point I have no idea
- 23 what's going to be funded. It's not really being
- 24 accomplished strategically, so much as by, you know,
- 25 reflecting your ability to meet certain criteria, which 81

- 1 may not reflect what's needed on a programmatic or
- 2 strategic level. So I'm hopeful that the completion of
- 3 the strategic plan will lead to the development of
- 4 criteria for grants that accomplish what's decided, you
- 5 know, in the strategic plan.
- 6 One of the things that is really near and dear
- 7 to my heart is public education. We've seen a lot of
- 8 grants for education and safety. My fear is that we
- 9 are comleting against an industry that continues to
- 10 promote travel off routes, through streams and meadows,
- 11 throwing up mud, and I don't think we're going to
- 12 successfully compete against those with brochures done
- 13 at the local level. I think part of the strategic plan
- 14 needs to look at a campaign, a big campaign that gets
- 15 to where the same places that the industry ads do, you
- 16 know, public service announcements on television, in
- 17 magazines, and something to show the industry -- to
- 18 show the public that what the industry is promoting is
- 19 not acceptable, and hopefully shame them into stopping
- 20 doing it.
- 21 At present, this is what people see. This is Page 74

- 22 what kids see. And I was in Maui over Christmas, and
- 23 it was in an area that was very, very sensitive
- 24 cultural ancient Hawaiian, and there was a four-wheel
- 25 drive that had driven right out on the rocky point, and 82

- 1 it looked just like an ad. I'm sure they thought it
- 2 was cool. It's not cool. I see my time is up. So
- 3 I'll stop. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 5 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone. Yes, I just wanted
- 6 to reiterate what one of the previous three speakers
- 7 commented that we really shouldn't be too worried about
- 8 what is going to happen as far as who's going to wind
- 9 up within the bubble and who is not. You really need
- 10 to just stay within the criteria and do all of the
- 11 grants, and what we should be doing is looking at the
- 12 funding buckets after we're done. Like, for instance,
- 13 we all know there is about \$2.5 million of unallocated
- 14 funds in the CESA restoration category. So we should
- 15 just go down the list, do the scoring, and we should
- 16 look at the funding buckets later, especially after we
- 17 all get briefed on the Fuel Tax Survey, which is going
- 18 to be released tomorrow -- it's already been released,
- 19 but we're going to have the briefing tomorrow. So that
- 20 could change everything. So just get done with the
- 21 process and just score the grants. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 23 DAVE PICKETT: Good morning, Dave Pickett, AMA
- 24 District 36, Motorcycle Sports Committee. Page 75

Ms. Schambach reinforced my comments yesterday

SCRI BE	REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING		
916-492-1010	877-453-1010	916-492-1222	Fax

1	on t	he	need	for	i ncreased	Law	enforcement.	hut	the
1	OH L	HE	HEEU	101	i iici easeu	ıaw	em or cement,	υuι	une

- 2 total non-CESA grant request funding bucket is over
- 3 \$22 million. I'll just keep this generic on an
- 4 overview. The total money bucket available is about
- 5 \$5.5 million. Within those eight categories, inside of
- 6 that non-CESA funding bucket, the highest score in some
- 7 categories was, with modification yesterday, a 91. You
- 8 go to trail maintenance, and it's down into the 60
- 9 area. As scores are changed overall in areas other
- 10 than trail maintenance, FOM, and acquisition, it is
- 11 pushing trail maintenance and FOM dollars down to the
- 12 bottom.
- 13 I believe that there is not going to be any
- 14 money left for the legislative intent of this program,
- 15 for long-term sustainability. And behind me our Forest
- 16 Service and BLM partners are scraping the bottom of the
- 17 barrel for garbage bags because their contracts for
- 18 waste removal are up, and they don't have any funding.
- 19 We can do all of the planning, studies, anything we
- 20 want, but if there is no money for the intent of the
- 21 program, what are we doing here, folks? We've got
- 22 serious issues that have to be addressed. You've got
- 23 to give our partners the funds they desperately need to
- 24 maintain.
- 25 As Karen pointed out, resource damage is out

84

1	there	because	there's	clowns	out	there	- 1	catch	them
	LIICIC	Decause	there s	CIOWIIS	out	triere.		Catcii	triciii,

- 2 they may just disappear. I'm serious. I can't stand
- 3 those guys and gals because they're harming my
- 4 organization, as well as all of the other organizations
- 5 that take the time to be here. Thank you very much.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. That's the end of
- 7 public forum. We're at 11:42, probably do a couple of
- 8 grants and then break for Lunch. Does that feel
- 9 comfortable? We have had graciously removed Item 67 by
- 10 letter, so that is not to be considered today. Unless
- 11 you needed to make some comment on that?
- 12 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 67, the grant was
- 13 withdrawn.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So that takes us to line item
- 15 68.
- 16 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: OR-814, El Dorado County,
- 17 General Services Department, Ellis Creek. They
- 18 requested \$116,626. They received a score of 62, at 60
- 19 percent for funding determination of \$69,976. The
- 20 applicant did provide supplemental information;
- 21 however, statements made were general and did not
- 22 contain any new factual information.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Proceed.
- 24 DAN BOLSTER: Dan Bolster, El Dorado County
- 25 Parks. Good morning, Commissioners, Chairman

85

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

Brissenden. Maybe a procedure issue, both of the

- 2 county's grants, OR-814 and OR-817 are identical in
- 3 their content. It's just one is for a bridge over
- 4 Gerle Creek, one is for planning for a bridge over
- 5 Ellis Creek. So I don't know if you want me to
- 6 address -- I'll be addressing both at the same time.
- 7 How you want -- the Commission would like to proceed in
- 8 the interest of time.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We have to take them
- 10 individually in terms of how we review the criteria,
- 11 but if you wish to address both of them at the same
- 12 time, I don't have a problem with that.
- DAN BOLSTER: The comments then I present to the
- 14 Commission will be pertaining to both of the grants.
- 15 In the information that I'm providing, it's all
- 16 within the grant application. For under criteria one,
- 17 the county received a score of 33 out of 50. We're
- 18 requesting an additional 17 points. Under factual
- 19 findings, we were scored for answering A, C, and G;
- 20 however, the responses that we provided in our grant
- 21 application provided answers to B, D, E, and F. B asks
- 22 how the project addressed protecting adjacent
- 23 landowners from noise trespass and property damage to
- 24 the adjacent property owners and the Forest Service.
- 25 And a bridge project would reduce the amount of

86

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 sediment and petroleum products that enter both creeks
- 2 from vehicles that are currently crossing the stream.
- 3 And as far as trespass goes, an implied feature of a
- 4 bridge is to clearly define the route of travel. So

- 5 that would help to protect the landowner Forest Service
- 6 from trespass. It probably wouldn't do anything for
- 7 noise. It may reduce noise really slightly. And it
- 8 asks how would the project eliminate illegal riding and
- 9 trespass. Again, an implicit feature of a bridge is to
- 10 clearly define the route. And while a bridge across
- 11 either creek is not likely to totally eliminate illegal
- 12 riding or trespass, there are going to be some users
- 13 who are going to decide that they still want to cross
- 14 through the creek bed. We have a strong group of
- 15 volunteers out there that are dedicated to educating
- 16 the riding public about the need to follow the rules
- 17 and to protect and preserve the resources out there.
- 18 So we're pretty confident that there will be a high
- 19 rate of compliance with using the new bridge crossings.
- 20 Again, E asks how would the project prevent
- 21 off-route travel, and that's pretty much covered in the
- 22 answers to C and D. The bridge will define the route
- 23 of travel and hopefully keep people from going down
- 24 into the creek bed. And it will also prevent potential
- 25 trail closure that may result from environmental damage

- 1 or people driving in the creek. F asks how would the
- 2 project address protecting public health and safety.
- 3 Pages six and seven of our application state that one
- 4 of the most significant environmental issues out on the
- 5 trail is water quality, which is an issue of public
- 6 health and safety. And providing bridge crossings
- 7 across Ellis and Gerle Creeks would reduce the amount

- 8 of sediment and petroleum products that would enter the
- 9 creek bed, reducing any potential threat to public
- 10 health and safety. So on criteria one, we're
- 11 requesting an additional 17 points raising our score
- 12 from 33 to 50.
- And on criteria two, we received a score of 23
- 14 out of 35. We're requesting an additional seven
- 15 points. And D, in criteria two, it asks how the
- 16 project would address the use of partnerships to reduce
- 17 reliance on OHV Trust funds. On that one, we would be
- 18 relying on your partnerships with various OHV groups
- 19 such as Cal 4-Wheel Drive, the Rubicon Trail
- 20 Foundation, Blue Ribbon Coalition, Friends of the
- 21 Rubicon, who currently donate thousands of hours out on
- 22 the trail every year. They would assist us in
- 23 hardening of the approaches out on the bridge projects,
- 24 preparing any site maps. We're currently working with
- 25 the Rubicon Trail Foundation on that, and any

88

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 restoration of the old alignment out there.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Please summarize.
- 3 DAN BOLSTER: Okay. Criteria three, we're
- 4 asking a score -- we had a score of six out of 15.
- 5 We'd like an additional four points. We reference
- 6 prior grant projects and felt that the information we
- 7 provided adequately answered the questions. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comment?
- 9 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 10 Riders Association. And, yes, like the speaker was

- 11 saying, the Rubicon area is a very unique area for the
- 12 four-wheel drive people. And to help maintain the
- 13 ecology of the area, these bridges would be very
- 14 important. And they're asking for over \$220,000 here
- 15 for planning for the two projects.
- 16 My one concern is in the original grants request
- 17 I found nothing to show how they would actually fund
- 18 the construction of these bridges. They are out in a
- 19 fairly remote rugged area, and I'd hate to see the
- 20 planning money being spent without provisions for the
- 21 application of the result of the planning. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
- 23 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Center for
- 24 Sierra Nevada Conservation. We support this group.
- 25 Center for Sierra Nevada Conservation is actually a

89

- 1 part of the Rubicon Oversight Committee. And with all
- 2 respect to Mr. Brissenden, where it says Sierra Nevada
- 3 Conservancy, that's a misprint. It's CSNC.
- 4 So we support the 50 points for criteria one.
- 5 The criteria requests addressing one or more of the
- 6 following, and just simply ignoring all of the others,
- 7 which simply apply, by under C, protecting habitat, I
- 8 mean they're going to get -- I'm addressing my comments
- 9 to both the Gerle Creek and Ellis Creek bridges, if
- 10 that's okay. They're getting thousands of four-wheel
- 11 drive jeeps out of the creeks. And as the grant
- 12 application states, there is a fishery in both creeks
- and a spawning downstream of one of these crossings.

- 14 And so that alone I think merits the 50 points.
- The efficient use of funds, as the applicant
- 16 explained, there's a wonderful partnership involved in
- 17 this. There's the whole Rubicon management planning
- 18 effort and the support for these bridges and support,
- 19 you know -- the on-the-ground help that would be
- 20 involved is enormous. So we support the increased
- 21 points there. And the application I thought did a fine
- 22 job of explaining how they had accomplished similar
- 23 projects in the past. So we agree with the applicant
- 24 that their funding -- their points for both of these
- 25 projects should be raised to 90. Thank you.

90

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman, California 4-Wheel
- 3 Drive Association. Now, I want you to mark this time
- 4 down that I'm agreeing with Karen on everything she
- 5 just said. And I'd like to add -- my comments, also,
- 6 to save you time, is for both grants because both
- 7 grants basically are identical. They are just two
- 8 different bridges.
- 9 What I want to convey across to you and the
- 10 Commission is this is a county that has stepped forward
- 11 and said, you know, we've got some issues. It's not
- 12 all because of what the OHV community is doing. It's
- 13 not all because of what is currently. A lot of it was
- 14 inherited. This trail has been there for years. It's
- 15 the most popular four-wheel drive trail I can safely
- 16 say in California, but I can almost safely say in the

- 17 world. It is used tremendously by the four-wheel drive
- 18 community. As Karen said, this is a partnership of not
- 19 only the environmental community, the OHV community,
- 20 the county, nonprofit foundations, they're all working
- 21 together with the Forest Service, with the county to
- 22 try to solve some of these issues.
- There's a master plan the county is working on,
- 24 the Forest Service is working on their plan. The two
- 25 are interacting together, and the Rubicon Foundation is

- 1 there to help. We are here -- I am here representing
- 2 the California 4-Wheel Drive Association who has put
- 3 thousands of hours into this trail and will put in
- 4 thousands more. These bridges are needed, and I could
- 5 not stress the importance of this planning grant. We
- 6 would also agree with the 90 points for this project
- 7 and the other one. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 9 BRENT SCHORADT: Brent Schoradt, California
- 10 Wilderness Coalition. I would like to also echo the
- 11 sentiments that you've heard here. We think it's
- 12 critical. We know folks are going to be riding on the
- 13 Rubicon Trail. It's key to keep them out of creeks and
- 14 building these bridges and moving forward with the
- 15 planning process to build these bridges is going to be
- 16 critical in doing that.
- 17 And we'd also like to commend the County of
- 18 El Dorado for really stepping up and proactively
- 19 influencing the management of the Rubicon Trail that

- 20 goes through their county. Thanks.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 22 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John
- 23 Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs,
- 24 United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. I'd just like to
- 25 make one quick comment about one of the previous

92

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 speakers noted this area is in a remote area and
- 2 actually affecting the accomplishment of work would be
- 3 difficult. Well, that's true. But, you know, the
- 4 volunteers from the Friends of the Rubicon and Rubicon
- 5 Trail Foundation, they have amassed a group of
- 6 volunteers that no task is too small or difficult for
- 7 them to accomplish. They have already, as Mr. Klusman
- 8 indicated, thousands of hours of volunteer work. These
- 9 guys are committed to doing it, committed to seeing it
- 10 through. And this is one grant that, yes, it really
- 11 has a significant impact on improving the recreation
- 12 opportunity, improving protection of the resources, and
- 13 it is an extremely efficient use of the funds due to
- 14 the amount of matching volunteer hours that can be
- 15 brought together to accomplish this difficult task. I
- 16 support the increased request for funding. Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 18 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I have a question. I have
- 19 a question for the applicant. There was a question
- 20 raised about funding for the actual construction. Is
- 21 there anything you can report in that light?
- 22 DAN BOLSTER: Just regarding funding for

- 23 construction, our plan is with the planning grant, we
- 24 would go ahead and do our environmental and planning in
- 25 this year '07, and then come back and apply for a grant

- 1 for '08 to do construction. It takes about a year to
- 2 do the environmental.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: You might want to listen
- 4 to the discussion tomorrow on the in lieu funding.
- 5 DAN BOLSTER: Thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: What's an estimate of
- 7 what a bridge would cost?
- 8 DAN BOLSTER: A bridge, one bridge would cost
- 9 right around \$400,000, between 350 to 400,000. We've
- 10 done site visits with a couple of different vendors
- 11 doing prefabricated bridges at both sites.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other questions of the
- 13 applicant?
- 14 DAN BOLSTER: If I may add one more thing.
- 15 There was a comment made about the difficulty accessing
- 16 the site. Actually both sites are relatively easy to
- 17 access. The Ellis Creek site, it's maybe 200 yards off
- 18 of a Forest Service log deck, and we talked to the
- 19 Forest Service about getting access to the bridge site.
- 20 And then the Gerle Creek site you can drive right to it
- on the existing Wentworth Springs Road.
- 22 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll go ahead and make a
- 23 motion. I move that we increase the criteria one.
- 24 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Which grant are you on?
- 25 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Starting with Ellis first

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010

1	in order,	so Ellis,	i ncrease	the	cri teri a	one by	17
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----	------------	--------	----

- 2 points according to the submissions and the testimony
- 3 consistent with those facts provided. Increase
- criteria two by six, which is consistent with one of 4
- 5 the following, the reduction of future costs and taking
- the vehicles out of the creek, that's supported, so six 6
- for that. And then an additional four for criteria 7
- three, for a total of 89. 8
- 9 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll second that.
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do we have concurrence on our
- 11 math here?
- 12 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: That's correct.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We have an 82 in front of us,
- 14 and an 89 in the motion.
- 15 OHMVR STAFF STALLCOP: Can you repeat those, the
- 16 numbers?
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So 17 in the first
- 18 increase.
- VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: 19 Which takes you to 50.
- 20 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Plus seven.
- 21 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: You said six.
- 22 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: You said six the first
- 23 time.
- 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Well, let's see, seven
- 25 would take you to 30, right?

95

1	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Whenever you intended.
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Yes, to 30 and 80. Then
3	we had four these are the recommendations, right?
4	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Which takes you to ten.
5	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Which takes you to 10.
6	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I'll withdraw my second
7	on the math.
8	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Well, I thought we had an
9	89. How did that happen?
10	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You added seven.
11	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It should be six, I'm
12	sorry.
13	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: We're back to 29 in two,
14	is that your intention?
15	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 89, final answer is 89.
16	That's what I started with. That's what I ended with.
17	What goes on in the black box is always a mystery.
18	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we have a motion of 89 and
19	a second. All those in favor?
20	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? The motion carries.
22	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I will do the same motion
23	for the second grant, which is Gerle Creek.
24	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: With the same numbers.
25	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: With the same numbers, 96
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 because the same rationale was presented. And because
- 2 it's a bridge, which is the same as the prior bridge,
- 3 and it's a bridge over the same creek, and it is a Page 87 $\,$

4	bridge over the same bridge on the same trail.
5	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll second that.
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So it's been moved and
7	seconded to a score of 89. Any discussion?
8	I'll leave my remarks that this should have been
9	in restoration, but I'll leave that for tomorrow.
10	So all those in favor?
11	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
12	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries. So
13	we hope you'll have bridges over troubled waters in
14	' 09.
15	So I see that we are at 12:01, and one of my
16	brilliant achievements today is that we will recess for
17	lunch almost on time. So be back by 1:00.
18	(Lunch break taken in proceedings.)
19	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Welcome back.
20	OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 72-OR-2-SW-36, Pacific
21	Southwest Region. They requested \$5,655,000. They
22	received a score of 35 at zero percent funding
23	determination. They did submit supplemental
24	information at yesterday's Commission meeting during
25	the presentation of the FOM projects.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I'm just curious, five
2	points, almost seven million. Why are you so bashful?
3	KATHLEEN MICK: Bashful about what?
4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Requesting.
5	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Have you no shame?
6	KATHLEEN MICK: Good afternoon, my name is Page 88

- 7 Kathleen Mick. I'm from the U.S. Forest Service. I
- 8 would like to start out saying thank you, Chair
- 9 Brissenden, for yesterday for your kind words. You're
- 10 a kind and caring man, and I'm referring to my
- 11 daughter. She's doing fine.
- 12 We're here to talk about restoration. The
- 13 Forest Service put in an application requesting over
- 14 \$5 million for restoration NEPA. When we submitted
- 15 that project, we submitted it using the planning
- 16 criteria; however, we asked for the funding to be
- 17 pulled out of the Restoration fund because that's what
- 18 we believed was the proper fund to be allocated toward
- 19 that work. We still stand by that and believe that our
- 20 request is for site specific actions for restoration.
- 21 It is to do the NEPA. NEPA is allowed within the
- 22 regulations in chapter three, page seven. And so we
- 23 believe that our project should be funded out of the
- 24 Restoration fund.
- 25 With that, I handed out some information today

- 1 that is a listing of site specific projects. And
- 2 that's just the icing on the cake is what we could pull
- 3 together, not understanding that for a planning project
- 4 we needed to submit a listing of site specific projects
- 5 for planning NEPA. And it's a misunderstanding of the
- 6 way that we interpreted the regs, or for something I'm
- 7 not quite sure.
- 8 In addition to the projects you have before you
- 9 in the listing Mardi just handed to you, we also have, Page 89

- 10 that are not part of the information, over 2,000 acres
- 11 of OHV use areas. And these are not areas that are
- 12 going to be considered during route designation. These
- 13 are areas across the forest, some of which have been
- 14 already taken care of. But if you look in our
- 15 application, you'll see a picture that was put in the
- 16 analysis of the project needs and benefits of an area
- 17 where there is just tracks everywhere. And what we did
- 18 during the inventory process that you all paid for was
- 19 to go out and collect those areas where a single line
- 20 feature couldn't be gathered, but there were so many
- 21 tracks in an area from OHV use that the only way to
- 22 capture it is a polygon, and we came up with over 2,000
- 23 acres across the 19 national forests that need to be
- 24 restored from illegal OHV use.
- 25 So with that, I gave you the information

99

- 1 yesterday. It's got the shield on it. It contains
- 2 this project. There's a lot more information
- 3 clarifying the project as originally submitted.
- 4 There's also the additional information the Commission
- 5 requested. The red light is on, so I don't have time
- 6 to go through each and every individual aspect of that,
- 7 but I believe that our application was a little bit
- 8 better than what was scored. So with that I'll just
- 9 quickly say that for criteria one we believe the score
- 10 should be revised to 40; criteria two, 25; criteria
- 11 three, 15; for a total score of 80.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comments?
 Page 90

- 2007-26-01 13 DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition. 14 I forget what meeting it was, how many meetings ago 15 where this discussion came up. But I know it was the intent of the Forest Service, as she already 16 17 articulated, is if the Commission was going to approve 18 this grant, discussed that it would be in the 19 Restoration pot and not out of non-CESA. Mr. Spitler said we weren't supposed to consider the 20 21 value of the grant and its effect on other projects. 22 As a member of the public, I'm concerned, as I stated 23 earlier, that if this did get funded out of the 24 non-CESA, a huge number of trail maintenance, facility 25 operation maintenance grants would be deep sixed and 100 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 that's totally unacceptable to the OHV community, not 2 good for the resource, nor the recreation if that 3 happens. Thank you. 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. 5 DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman, California 4-Wheel 6 Drive Association. Three years ago the OHMRV
- 7 Commission asked the Forest Service to go out and 8 inventory or look at what needed to be restored and 9 give us a ballpark figure of what it's going to cost to The Forest Service has done that. 10 11 they're asking for \$5 million plus here. They're doing 12 exactly what the Commission asked for, and it should be 13 under Restoration. I mean I think the community, the 14 motorized, non-motorized, all of us agree that this is 15 a good grant, but it needs to be under Restoration. Page 91

- 16 The only people that are saying that it doesn't belong
- 17 other Restoration seems to be the Division. And I
- 18 still have a hard time with that, but I don't
- 19 understand all of the regulations; don't claim to. But
- 20 this grant belongs under Restoration. It does not
- 21 belong where it's at or any other category. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 23 JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
- 24 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- 25 Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. Looking at 101

- 1 this grant, two things strike me. Here within the last
- 2 couple of years, this very Commission directed the
- 3 Forest Service and actually put out the approval of
- 4 using Restoration funds for planning purposes. When
- 5 you look at this, the title is purely a restoration
- 6 and, yes, it's planning. But now at the Commission's
- 7 direction then and what they desired is that this
- 8 should fall under the Restoration category because
- 9 that's where they directed that that funding could be
- 10 used for planning. As such, hoping that this can be
- 11 moved into the Restoration account where it is
- 12 appropriately placed.
- 13 A second question, though, a look at the overall
- 14 size of the grant, \$5.6 plus million under a one-year
- 15 cycle is something that -- this is the volume of work
- 16 or the volume -- man hours of the deliverables here
- 17 seem a little bit excessive. That can be accomplished
- 18 within a short time period, and perhaps this is Page 92

- 19 something to look at segmenting this grant into
- 20 multiple years in order to ensure that the deliverables
- 21 under this grant can actually be accomplished. We're
- 22 looking to have an efficient use of funds. Well, the
- 23 size of this grant leads -- the question is is this
- 24 efficient use, can this work be accomplished within a
- 25 short period of time or is this something that will be 102

- 1 spread out over a couple of years. So I would
- 2 encourage that this be reviewed or transferred to the
- 3 Restoration account where it's appropriate and possibly
- 4 even segmenting it into multi-year grants. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 6 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Center for
- 7 Sierra Nevada Conservation and PEER. I don't think
- 8 I've ever seen the OHV community and environmental
- 9 community so unanimous on any grant before. I also
- 10 believe that the regulations, my interpretation of
- 11 them, and I'm pretty -- I think I have a pretty good
- 12 understanding of them, required that this grant would
- 13 come under Restoration and not the non-CESA. I agree
- 14 with Don Amador that this, you know -- it needs to be
- 15 funded, but it would just be devastating to the
- 16 non-CESA, the other non-CESA grants, to fund it out of
- 17 non-CESA. So I would agree with the higher score and
- 18 with funding it out of Restoration. Thanks.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 20 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone. And again as I have
- 21 stated before, I support the idea of multi-year grants, Page 93

- 22 but unfortunately the regulations only made provisions,
- 23 if I interpret them, for I believe it's \$45,000 for
- 24 multi-year projects. So that's obviously well in
- 25 excess of that. There is no way to consider it.

103

- 1 Mr. Stewart is right, it should have been segmented
- 2 into multi-years. It is miscategorized. It should be
- 3 under Restoration.
- 4 And there is no justification for any score
- 5 increase under this criteria. If it was moved to the
- 6 Restoration category and rescored under the Restoration
- 7 criteria, a scoring increase would be -- would be
- 8 justified. But under this -- under this criteria where
- 9 the grant is, I can't see any justification for an
- 10 increase in the score. I would support Division's
- 11 score and no more. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 13 BRENT SCHORADT: Brent Schoradt, California
- 14 Wilderness Coalition. I'd like to again read from page
- 15 seven of chapter three of the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle
- 16 Recreation Division, Grants and Cooperative Agreement
- 17 Project Administration Procedures. It says, quote,
- 18 "Eligible costs for restoration projects may include,
- 19 but are not limited to the following." There is a list
- 20 of items. And it says, "CEQA or NEPA compliance
- 21 requirements for the restoration project."
- This project is clearly a Restoration project.
- 23 There is consensus. You've heard several times it was
- 24 consensus among the motorized crowd, the non-motorized Page 94

25 crowd, the wilderness advocates, conservation community

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 and the OHV enthusiasts that this grant is a
- 2 Restoration grant. And so I would urge this Commission
- 3 to step forth and move it to the Restoration category.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 6 DAVE PICKETT: Dave Pickett, District 36. I
- 7 think you got the gist of what everybody is trying to
- 8 say. \$5,655,000 grant against a funding pot of
- 9 \$5,500,000 pretty much says the way it is. The
- 10 Division recommendation if it stays in non-CESA. Move
- 11 to Restoration, please. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 13 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 14 Riders Association, in agreement with all of the
- 15 previous speakers. My one question is who has the
- 16 authority to move it into the Restoration? I don't
- 17 know if the -- our grants procedure will allow that.
- 18 It would be kind of in violation of the fairness of the
- 19 grants process. Just a question maybe legal counsel
- 20 could answer. Thank you.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Are there
- 22 questions of the applicant or comments at this time?
- 23 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I have one question.
- 24 You mentioned that you thought also it should be under
- 25 Restoration. And in my notes of the November 3rd north 105

1	grant hearing, you said that you would pull it because
2	of the bite of the size of the apple if it wouldn't be
3	considered in Restoration.
4	KATHLEEN MICK: That's correct. The Forest
5	Service did say that. And actually about an hour ago,
6	Don Amador for Blue Ribbon Coalition came up to me and
7	asked me in a good faith effort for the partnership
8	that we've had with Blue Ribbon Coalition that's
9	longstanding, they requested that I give the regional
10	forester a call and ask if he would pull the grant. I
11	did so. The regional forester's decision on this grant
12	is that the United States Forest Service applied for
13	the funding for this application under the planning
14	criteria as directed and that we intended for the grant
15	to be funded from the Restoration fund. There isn't
16	anything in the regulations that says that a planning
17	grant cannot be funded out of the Restoration pot.
18	It's the exact same kind of grant that was funded for
19	the Mendocino and the Eldorado. The difference is that
20	there is in this case the planning criteria was
21	used. In their case, they did address the restoration
22	criteria. So the regional forester's position is that
23	we submitted our grant as we intended, and that it is
24	now the decision is out of our hands, but we will not
25	be pulling this grant.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Comments, discussion?

1

2007-26-01 2 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I just -- I'll make a few 3 comments and start the discussion and probably make a motion at the same time. 4 5 I am just noting here, I'm looking at your application cover sheet, which does list this under 6 7 Restoration. So in response to the one public comment 8 of could it be moved, I think it was actually applied 9 for under Restoration to begin with. 10 Well, first of all, I want to say that I would 11 just want to offer accolades to Ms. Mick. I told her 12 at the break that I don't get impressed easy, and I'm 13 very impressed with this list of restoration projects 14 on just about every national forest. There is a couple 15 I note that are missing, but I won't quibble with that. This is what I know this commissioner, and I think 16 other commissioners, have asked agency for quite some 17 18 And to have a 21-page list of problematic time. 19 routes, black eyes to the riders, trespassing 20 wilderness areas, et cetera, kind of an action plan, a 21 short-term action plan for the agency is really 22 remarkable. And whether this happens this year or 23 sometime in the future, I think this is a great 24 starting point to provide quidance on where the agency 25 is going with restoration and, frankly, what some of 107

- 1 the need is out there. So thank you for putting this
- 2 together. It's really quite a task.
- 3 I understand that originally the position of the
- 4 Division was that this should come under -- to be

- 5 funded out of non-CESA. I think, frankly, it's hard
- 6 for me to understand any reason why that might still be
- 7 the case. The regulations clearly allow for
- 8 restoration projects and planning to come out of the
- 9 Restoration category. The only difference between this
- 10 grant and the others that we heard, the restoration
- 11 planning grants we heard yesterday, which were for NEPA
- 12 for specific restoration projects on the Mendocino and
- 13 I believe El Dorado National Forests, is that this is
- 14 multiple projects lumped together, and that the
- 15 applicant here evaluated their grants under the
- 16 planning criteria, rather than the restoration
- 17 criteria, which I don't see anything in the regulations
- 18 to suggest that that directs an applicant solely to
- 19 non-CESA.
- 20 So to me this project is really no different
- 21 than the projects that we funded yesterday out of the
- 22 restoration category. I am concerned about funding
- 23 this project out of non-CESA. If the decision was mine
- 24 alone, I might just go ahead and do that. But I think
- 25 that's problematic for all of the obvious reasons that 108

- 1 have been stated.
- 2 So I would like to make a motion, and my motion
- 3 has two parts to it to correct two of the deficiencies
- 4 in the evaluation of this grant that I've heard. The
- 5 first is under the first item, I will advocate an
- 6 increase of that score from 25 to 35. And that's based
- 7 in part on the just outstanding information provided

- 8 here on impacts to -- on the resources that this
- 9 application will help protect, including wilderness,
- 10 getting rid of hill climbs, protecting water quality,
- 11 et cetera. Those are the only -- you don't need a
- 12 calculator, those are the only changes. That would
- 13 increase the score of the grant in total to 45.
- 14 The second part of the motion would be that the
- 15 application be funded out of the restoration category
- 16 instead of the non-CESA category where it was, I think,
- 17 in error placed. And that would be my motion.
- 18 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll second that.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
- 20 Under di scussi on.
- 21 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm just curious, I
- 22 don't have a scale in front of me, what does 45, under
- 23 Restoration, does that fund?
- 24 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I believe it gives it a
- 25 40 percent funding.

109

- 1 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Okay.
- 2 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I will note that it
- 3 should not influence the evaluation of this grant, but
- 4 we do have remaining under Restoration over
- 5 \$2.5 million unspent in this year's grant cycle.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other comments?
- 7 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Well, perhaps we should
- 8 hear from staff. Maybe they want to avoid a
- 9 constitutional crisis and take a five-minute recess and
- 10 meet with the environmentalists, the riders, the Forest

- 11 Service, and the rest of the audience to work out
- 12 something that would protect all of our interests.
- 13 This is the classic case of five minutes of good
- 14 conversation might save a number of hours in a
- 15 courtroom.
- 16 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Chairman Brissenden, if I
- 17 may.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You may.
- 19 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Commissioner Thomas, I
- 20 couldn't agree with you more. And so I find it
- 21 extremely disappointing and troubling that we're
- 22 sitting here today discussing this when, in fact, the
- 23 predicament that we have been in all along is the fact
- 24 that, as we look last year at getting sued, and we are
- 25 under the microscope right now in terms of how we work 110

- 1 within our regulations.
- 2 I agree with you, Commissioner Spitler. I think
- 3 this list is very impressive, and I do thank Ms. Mick
- 4 for this list, as well. I think where I'm troubled is
- 5 that when this issue came up, when the application
- 6 first was submitted to the Division and we had
- 7 conversations with the Forest, that we did not have
- 8 this list at that time.
- 9 And so for the continued discussion of where we
- 10 said we at that point in time will do everything we
- 11 could to try and figure out how we could perhaps try
- 12 and fund this out of restoration and still stay within
- 13 compliance, and I recognize that some people believe

- 14 that Chapter Three says one thing, and other people
- 15 believe that Chapter Three says another thing. And,
- 16 yes, it would be nice to fund everything out of
- 17 Restoration, and I would like to remind everybody here
- 18 that there was an administration bill last year that
- 19 went through to try and change the definition of
- 20 restoration. And all of us know what that definition
- 21 of restoration means and have discussed it for many,
- 22 many years.
- 23 And I know that other people can think there is
- 24 a conspiracy by the Division not to support
- 25 restoration, and that is simply not true. As we look

- 1 throughout these days, we can see that the restoration
- 2 scored higher than the trail maintenance. So there is
- 3 not some conspiracy we have against restoration. What
- 4 we do have is a desire to stay compliant with the law.
- 5 And the law currently states that 5090.11, upon
- 6 closure. And the worry that we have said all along,
- 7 and when the Forest Service in the discussions that we
- 8 had back in August and September when they offered to
- 9 pull this application if we could not get it out -- if
- 10 we could not get it out of non-CESA.
- And so I find that troubling as we are now faced
- 12 here with the Division looking to be the bad guy for
- 13 saying that we are trying to stay compliant with the
- 14 law, and that I am more than happy if somebody wants to
- 15 do a legislative add-on for \$14 million that's sitting
- 16 in that account right now. If somebody wants to go

- 17 this year and change legislation to make sure that the
- 18 definition of restoration allows us to do this.
- 19 But right now we are between a rock and a hard
- 20 place, and I recognize that a lot of people have a lot
- 21 of passion, and the Restoration account is sitting
- 22 there, and I would like to get those funds used as well
- 23 for something good. But we have got to stay within
- 24 where the law is. And I recognize that some people
- 25 will disagree, and other people are going to argue one 112

- 1 way or another. And it is my hope that we don't end up
- 2 in court on this one one more time.
- 3 So Chairman Brissenden, we really have tried,
- 4 and at this point in time, it is a particular difficult
- 5 situation, and I would really ask that if you try and
- 6 push this into, you know, making the Division say that
- 7 you will fund it out of Restoration, then we are going
- 8 to be faced with looking at the fact that we're not
- 9 going to be able to execute that contract. And I
- 10 recognize that everybody in this audience says they do
- 11 want it, the environmental community wants it, the
- 12 off-road community wants it. Then, darn it, we all
- 13 have to take that responsibility and allow it to happen
- 14 in the way that's legal and proper.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You just used up your five
- 16 minutes. I don't think it's a constitutional crisis.
- 17 I think it's a minor challenge on the world stage, and
- 18 it's also an opportunity. So having said that, there
- 19 is a motion and a second on the floor.

20	2007-26-01 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Can I make a
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: There are certainly remarks
22	to be made. Go ahead.
23	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I just have a question
24	for staff, whoever wants to answer it. Had the
25	information that was provided today been provided 113
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	earlier when the grant was being applied for, would
2	that have changed the funding category on this matter?
3	I realize that's speculative. This is a substantial
4	amount of information that we just received today that
5	might have been helpful to have been received earlier.
6	COUNSEL LaFRANCHI: Yes, I'm going to respond to
7	that. It's my advice that the motion is not proper in
8	the context that this be moved over and evaluated as
9	part of the restoration projects and funded out of
10	Restoration. And here's my reason for that:
11	Planning projects are clearly defined in the
12	regulations. It's those kinds of projects to evaluate
13	the feasibility and the potential for projects before
14	an actual commitment is made to expend further funds on
15	designing and developing those projects. This planning
16	grant cooperative agreement application was submitted
17	and drafted as that kind of application, asking for
18	money to put a team together to go out and look at the
19	potential for restoration projects. At that time it
20	was not a restoration specific project. It was a
21	project defined in the application as putting a team
22	together to go out and evaluate where restoration could

- 23 or should occur and make some recommendations.
- 24 Certainly I would say if at that time it had
- 25 been couched as money for a list of specific

114

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 restoration projects, where the Forest Service had
- 2 already decided these were the areas they were going to
- 3 restore, and they needed the money to do the CEQA and
- 4 they had submitted it over on the Restoration side, it
- 5 would have been a proper restoration type of designed
- 6 development project. That didn't happen. That isn't
- 7 the circumstance.
- 8 Now, taking that in light of competitive bidding
- 9 requirements, it is not proper to allow an applicant to
- 10 redefine their project substantially from one category
- 11 to another after several months and finally come up
- 12 with some clarification. And on that basis, that would
- 13 be unfair. It would give them an unfair advantage over
- 14 the other applicants on the Restoration side who
- 15 properly submitted their applications and are now being
- 16 forced to compete with an applicant that did not do
- 17 that.
- 18 It's my advice to the Commission and Division
- 19 that if the motion is passed as made that this be
- 20 funded out of Restoration, that we would not be able to
- 21 execute that project agreement as being void in
- violation of the competitive bidding requirements.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you for your
- 24 interpretation.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: With all due respect,

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

1	Mr.	LaFranchi	and	the	staff,	and I	do	respect	your
---	-----	-----------	-----	-----	--------	-------	----	---------	------

- 2 views on this matter, I think your interpretation is
- incorrect. Again, I think that an applicant is 3
- certainly free to clarify their projects. Certainly, 4
- 5 if an applicant were to submit a new project at this
- stage, it would be inappropriate. But providing 6
- 7 clarification, which is within the scope of the
- 8 original application, is certainly allowable, and other
- 9 applicants we've asked throughout the day to clarify
- 10 their intentions on what their projects were.
- 11 As to the question of whether a planning grant
- 12 can ever be restoration, I really feel that's just
- 13 splitting hairs. I mean you're just parsing words
- 14 If you look at what this project would actually
- 15 do, under these clarifications, it would be to do NEPA
- on a whole number of restoration projects, which is 16
- 17 exactly what we funded yesterday for the Mendocino and
- the El Dorado out of Restoration, and the regulations 18
- clearly allow for that. 19
- 20 To somehow try to split hairs and say that
- 21 because this has been called planning, when it's
- 22 exactly the same activities that we've already funded
- 23 out of Restoration, really frankly makes no sense
- 24 whatsoever. And I think that in light of this new
- 25 information, it's clear to me that this project is

116

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 clearly fundable out of Restoration. To suggest
- 2 otherwise frankly would make a mockery of the
- 3 regulations, which specifically state that NEPA and
- 4 CEQA for restoration projects, which by the way this
- 5 is, can be funded out of Restoration.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any further comments or can
- 7 we go to the vote?
- 8 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I have one for the record.
- 9 In seeking to understand the nature of the project, I
- 10 turned to general project description, page 189 of 402,
- 11 and read the description. And it indicates that an
- 12 interdisciplinary team will analyze an independent
- 13 contractor soil scientist and hydrologist review and
- 14 make restoration of roads, trails, areas closed due to
- 15 the adverse effect on resources.
- 16 That description is made more specific by the
- 17 actual areas the applicant intends to modify, close,
- 18 restore, et cetera, and included in the 21 pages of
- 19 summary sheets. I can't see why a very specific
- 20 application, composed of initially a general project
- 21 description, and then followed by details at this
- 22 hearing, doesn't comply with rules of restoration that
- 23 we followed today. So I perhaps don't have the same
- 24 degree of passion as the gentleman to my right, but I
- 25 certainly track his analytic process, and I think it's

- 1 correct that the general description followed by the
- 2 specifics today gives us enough to act. I'm prepared
- 3 to vote.

4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner Prizmich.
5	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I recall a meeting in
6	December where there were comments made on the part of
7	Ms. Mick that this would be withdrawn if it were not
8	placed in the Restoration grants. And, you know, I
9	respect that offer because the impact if it's left
10	where it is is devastating to the other grantees. I
11	know we're not supposed to consider that in a
12	competitive process. I very much would like to see it
13	go into Restoration. I'm a little bit dismayed that we
14	got all of this voluminous information today, and we've
15	been talking about this for months, and it wasn't
16	dribbling in at least over this time. But by the same
17	token, I acknowledge what Commissioner Spitler was
18	saying that we've done similar things, perhaps not to
19	this level. But I'm also caught in a quandary because
20	an attorney has said that it's not going to be
21	implemented. So it leaves us all hanging in the lurch.
22	I don't want to create a constitutional crisis,
23	although I think that's relatively strong.
24	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Intended to be a bit
25	faceti ous.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: By the same token, I
2	truly feel and I'm not sure I have adequate
3	information at my disposal at this juncture to decide

4 whether we can move it into Restoration or not. That's
5 where I'd like to see it. That's where I think
6 everybody would like to see it. I appreciate
Page 107

- 7 everybody's efforts in this part. I wish this would
- 8 have come sooner. I think it would have made
- 9 everybody's life easier in this matter.
- 10 So I haven't decided as to this moment how I'm
- 11 going to vote, but I did want to express however it is
- 12 my vote, my desire to have it in Restoration because I
- 13 think that's the appropriate place for it to be. And I
- 14 guess my concern is that I'm caught on the horns of a
- 15 dilemma because I may not be able to make that
- 16 decision. So that's my commentary.
- 17 KATHLEEN MICK: Well, if I may, I'd like to be
- 18 able to address that. And unfortunately, we had our
- 19 last Commission meeting, I believe it was December 8th
- 20 and 9th, several days of meetings, a week and three
- 21 days later, I had vacation to take. So, unfortunately,
- 22 I would have liked to have gotten you this information
- 23 much sooner, as well. We had some problems. Some of
- 24 the information was in a spreadsheet that was filed
- 25 last by Dr. Farrington, and we still have yet to find

- 1 it. So I actually had to contact the Division's
- 2 consultant, Thomas Reid and Associates, to get a former
- 3 version of the spreadsheet so we can go back and check
- 4 all of the projects that we put in a couple of years
- 5 ago at the direction of the Division and the
- 6 Commission, to see which ones had actually been
- 7 implemented, which ones had we had received NEPA on,
- 8 because in prior years we had received a bulk
- 9 allocation from the Commission to do NEPA with just the Page 108

10 w	ords.	we're	aoi na	to	do	NEPA.	wi th	no	listing	of
------	-------	-------	--------	----	----	-------	-------	----	---------	----

- 11 projects. So in all honesty, I had no anticipation of
- 12 having to have a listing of projects when I submitted
- 13 the grant.
- 14 That said, we've done what we've done. We've
- 15 got a list in front of you. I don't believe there is
- 16 any regulation that says when these materials had to
- 17 come in. Other people have passed out materials to you
- 18 today and yesterday. Granted, our material is a bit
- 19 more voluminous. It's why I included the package of my
- 20 whole entire additional information and clarifications
- 21 to you yesterday so that I knew that you would go back
- 22 to your hotel rooms last night and pour over it and
- 23 search every word to make your good decisions.
- But in all honesty, Commissioner Prizmich, we
- 25 just did the best that we could with the time we had.

- 1 And the Commission has a project before them, and we'll
- 2 take your good word on what the final say is on that
- 3 project.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. It's my
- 5 understanding that this would be a three-year grant
- 6 cycle, to answer I think a couple of concerns from the
- 7 general public.
- 8 Overall I think that if we are to have the
- 9 political will to provide the appropriate direction to
- 10 the Division, I think that this is a proper motion and
- 11 deserves our support.
- 12 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Chairman Brissenden, if I Page 109

- may, one more point.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: One more and one last.
- 15 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: And it will be one more,
- 16 but I think that we are dealing with a \$5.6 million
- 17 application. So I think in that case, if there was
- 18 some confusion, then it would have behooved the
- 19 applicant in this case to make sure that there was
- 20 clarification. And I think it is difficult because the
- 21 fact of the matter is we go back to the frequently
- 22 asked questions, and this question was raised about
- 23 planning and restoration and trying to understand the
- 24 difference and where that was to be applied. And in
- 25 fact the question was asked by this applicant.

121

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 So if there is that confusion that exists, then,
- 2 again, the troubling fact of getting this material when
- 3 this has been a questionable application for many, many
- 4 months, and, yes, going back to December, even so, it
- 5 is putting us in a terribly difficult situation. And I
- 6 recognize and it is the process and some may believe at
- 7 this point in time to be able to bring in this much
- 8 clarifying documentation, I think the question that
- 9 comes to us, does this supplemental information, in
- 10 fact, create new deliverables.
- 11 And, Commissioner Thomas, would have a belief on
- 12 that, and that's fine. I'm just saying that I do think
- 13 that this could have been avoided. And I am sorry that
- 14 it is at this point in time this problematic to
- 15 everybody.

16	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Just a final, hopefully,								
17	response because I know we had discussions informal								
18	discussions way back in November that this was the								
19	appropriate pot for this, and you were planning to make								
20	every effort to put it into that pot, and we're pushing								
21	it towards the pot.								
22	DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: This goes back before								
23	August.								
24	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Call the question.								
25	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I'll just make one final 122								
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax								
1	point in response to that final point. The regulations								
2	clearly provide an administrative agency flexibility to								
3	make this decision. It's a reasonable interpretation								
4	of the regulations that the Division drafted, and I								
5	don't think that there is any serious question to that.								
6	Particularly any question that did exist is, I think,								
7	moot in light of this new information. So it's a								
8	reasonable interpretation of your own regulations, and								
9	you have the flexibility to make the proper decision								
10	here.								
11	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Move the question.								
12	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: The question has been moved.								
13	So all in favor say aye.								
14	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)								
15	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?								
16	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.								
17	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm going to abstain.								
18	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So the motion carries. Page 111								

19	72, Martha.
20	OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 72, OR-2-SW-40,
21	Pacific Southwest Region. They requested \$2 million.
22	They received a score of 44, at 40 percent for funding
23	determination of \$80,000.
24	KATHLEEN MICK: Again, Kathleen Mick, U.S.
25	Forest Service. You have before you our additional 123
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	information, our clarified information that was handed
2	out to you yesterday. Again, we believe that the
3	application warrants a higher score than the Division
4	gave; would also like to make reference to the MOI that
5	was signed between the Director of California
6	Department of State Parks, the Commission, and the U.S.
7	Forest Service, particularly the regional foresters of
8	Regions Four and Five.
9	In the application, we referred to the MOI, but
10	apparently in the general description that information
11	couldn't be used because it wasn't referred to, I
12	guess, in the criteria, but it was referred to in the
13	general description. However, you have the information
14	in front of you. I think we have a proven track record
15	not only with this type of project, with other types of
16	projects. I mentioned yesterday the several grants
17	that we received towards this project. In light of
18	route designation and the five-step pyramid, all
19	national forests in California including the lands in
20	Humboldt-Toiyabe have completed their inventory, which
21	you funded. Some of the national forests have Page 112

- 22 completed step two, which is their temporary forest
- 23 order, which you funded. Some of those forests are
- 24 still working on the forest orders and some have found
- 25 that they will not need those. So that's money saved

- 1 and an efficiency that will be pushed forward to the
- 2 next step which is step three and step four, which is
- 3 the collaboration with the public and the analysis of
- 4 routes.
- 5 I think that at least outside of this process,
- 6 that between the environmental and the OHV communities,
- 7 that if there is one thing that they can say in unison
- 8 is that the Forest Service on all 18 national forests
- 9 have done their best to be very collaborative in this
- 10 effort to listen to the OHV community, to make time
- 11 extensions where possible to get routes included in the
- 12 original inventory. So we've demonstrated, I think, a
- 13 very good track record on this project. We did have
- 14 OR-2-SW-15 audited. That was for \$2 million, and then
- 15 it also included another \$115,000 increment. As I
- 16 mentioned, we spent all but \$91 of that. There is an
- 17 unofficial audit report that says that there's still
- 18 some negotiations to take place in regard to \$15,000.
- 19 The confusion came over some vehicle charges, whether
- 20 we can or cannot charge for those vehicles. We're
- 21 still working with the Division to negotiate some of
- 22 those issues. And should the final say be that those
- 23 charges are disallowed, then the Forest Service will
- 24 act accordingly.

So with that, I would like to draw you to the

125

- 1 information that I provided. And in criteria one, we
- 2 believe that the score should be revised to 50:
- 3 criteria two, 30; and criteria three, 15; for a total
- 4 score of 95.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comment,
- 6 and then we will go to questions of the applicant.
- 7 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone. Yes, again, I have
- 8 to say one thing. I do believe that on the first
- 9 criteria that perhaps it deserves a higher score, you
- 10 know, perhaps in the 30, 32 range. However, when it
- 11 comes to efficient use of funds, again, I can't believe
- 12 how many millions of dollars it's taken just to give us
- 13 a few maps and figure out where somebody has put a
- 14 wheel. Whatever I would have given in criteria one,
- 15 it's going to lose it in criteria two and criteria
- 16 three.
- 17 But basically I would support this grant as
- 18 scored by Division. And it is very important that we
- 19 have this process. It's very important that we know
- 20 what's on the ground. Unfortunately, and this will
- 21 come up tomorrow, we are funding all of these managed
- 22 trail concepts with these route inventories, and then
- 23 we're being held hostage by this fuel tax formula of 30
- 24 percent at the same time. Either it needs to be one
- 25 way or the other, either we need to change the fuel tax 126

1		مر مام مرب	$\Gamma \cap \cap \cap$	 h a l : a , r a	: +	: ~				~~+
1	regul ati ons	unaer	5090,	 berreve	ΙL	15,	50	we	Can	qeι

- 2 away from that fuel tax formula or we need to stop
- 3 wasting money funding any kind of managed trail
- 4 process. Because if it's not going to matter when it
- 5 comes to how the funding gets dished out, it's just a
- 6 waste of money. So that message needs to go to our
- 7 legislators. As far as we are going to have managed
- 8 trails and route inventory and the Fuel Tax formula, it
- 9 needs to be one way or the other, but not both.
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
- 11 JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
- 12 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- 13 Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. This is
- 14 something that funds the route inventory, route
- 15 designation process of which as I recall the memorandum
- 16 of intent indicated that the funding would be there
- 17 provided funding was available. Well, we're clearly
- 18 into a funding crisis because we will have a lot of
- 19 grants that will go unfunded, which brings up when you
- 20 look at criteria two, demonstrating efficient use of
- 21 funds, and looking at one of the subelements in there
- 22 is partnerships.
- The recreation community sat down with Chief
- 24 Bosworth almost a year ago to discuss issues with
- 25 funding route designation on all forests throughout the 127

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 United States. And the Last time I Looked, California Page 115

- 2 is part of this all forest system. And Chief Bosworth
- 3 indicated that the forest had the money in hand to
- 4 accomplish route inventory and the route designation
- 5 work. They had the money available.
- 6 According to what is being discussed here, is
- 7 the Forest Service is not upholding their part of the
- 8 partnership. They're expecting the State of
- 9 California, the OHV community in California to drain
- 10 their trust fund in order to fund a route designation;
- 11 therefore, as far as having a partnership, no, that 16
- 12 does not even reflect the lack of it. It's what should
- 13 be a much lower score. Is this important? Is route
- 14 designation important? Does it eliminate illegal
- 15 riding, trespass issues, yes. But 26, should probably
- 16 be up close to a 30, maybe even a 35. But definitely
- 17 that number two is where if it's looking for
- 18 partnerships is this is a solely funded effort by the
- 19 OHMRV Trust Fund, and the Forest Service itself is not
- 20 kicking in their fair share. So that even adds 16, I
- 21 would say that that is maybe a one. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
- 23 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 24 Riders Association. And this is a federally mandated
- 25 program. When I drove into town yesterday, it said I 128

- 1 was coming in Sacramento, not Washington, D.C. So why
- 2 they're coming here for funding on this project, I
- 3 don't understand. There's also provisions or guidance
- 4 under the OHV program that says we're supposed to help

- 5 fund projects, not fund them a hundred percent. From
- 6 what I've just been hearing, it sounds like the OHV
- 7 funding that's going to be taking care of the expenses
- 8 on this. So I'd say no funding. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 10 DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman, California 4-Wheel
- 11 Drive Association. I was one of the original people
- 12 that went to Vallejo, to region when we were talking
- 13 about this five years ago. We sat there and talked
- 14 about it, and the OHV community was in agreement that
- 15 this was something that was going to be good. And at
- 16 that time, we laid out a lot of concerns. And then the
- 17 pyramid came about.
- Now, we're in step three of the pyramid.
- 19 Basically most everybody has completed Stage II. There
- 20 is still a huge issue that's not been resolved. I sat
- 21 here for two days and talked to eight different forests
- 22 and got eight different answers to the same question,
- 23 what are you going to do about a route that goes
- 24 through or touches private property. All eight forests
- 25 have told me different things. Five out of eight, I $_{_{_{}}}$

- 1 like, you know, but that doesn't mean the rest of them
- 2 are going to follow suit. We've got a major deficiency
- 3 here of how this is going to be handled.
- 4 Some forests are saying they're going to show
- 5 the route up to the private property, and then show it
- 6 coming out the other side, we can't show it coming
- 7 through. Okay, I can maybe buy that one.

- 8 The other one is going to say, we're not going
- 9 to show the route. If the route goes and touches
- 10 private property, the route is going to be closed.
- 11 don't care how long that route has been there. I can't
- 12 go along with that.
- 13 I brought this to the attention at the
- 14 subcommittee meeting to the region. I brought this to
- 15 the attention at the full Commission meeting several
- 16 different times, and we have yet to get an answer out
- 17 of region to tell the forest, their 18 forests out
- 18 there, on how to handle this problem.
- 19 The other issue under the memorandum of
- 20 understanding is that everything will be done by a
- 21 certain day. We have forests because of weather,
- 22 because of other reasons, their inventory just got done
- 23 in the last year. They're not going to be able to meet
- 24 that date. Then are we going to sit there and scream
- 25 and holler saying, you know, the thing wasn't done

130

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 right. We've got to have some answers to these major
- 2 questions before I see funding more money. Thank you.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 4 BRENT SCHORADT: I'm Brent Schoradt with the
- 5 California Wilderness Coalition. I'd like first of all
- 6 to commend this Commission for your visionary work and
- 7 actually moving forward the route designation process
- 8 over the years. If we look around the country, it's
- 9 very clear to folks who work on off-road vehicle issues
- 10 and public land issues in general, that California is

- 11 once again leading the way in terms of route
- 12 designation. We are further along in this process than
- 13 any other state in the country, and that's because of
- 14 the good work of this Commission in setting forth the
- 15 vision of designated routes on California public lands,
- 16 especially the Forest Service Lands. We have 18
- 17 million acres of Forest Service lands in the State of
- 18 California. That's nearly one in every five-- that's
- 19 nearly 20 percent of the state.
- 20 And so setting in place a sustainable system of
- 21 designated routes is probably the best thing that we
- 22 could do going forward. I think it will ultimately be
- 23 the legacy of this Commission, and I would urge you to
- 24 fully fund this. I think the best way to make sure
- 25 that vehicles can drive anywhere and everywhere they

- 1 feel like is to kill this grant, and I think that's the
- 2 last thing we should let happen.
- 3 So under category one, the project will provide
- 4 for a quality sustainable system of roads and trails
- 5 for OHV recreation, I think not only does this project
- 6 provide the protection of the resources by keeping
- 7 vehicles on designated routes, but it also provides for
- 8 the long-term health of off-highway vehicle recreation.
- 9 And so I would recommend full funding for category one,
- 10 50 out of 50.
- 11 Category two, I think the best way we can use
- 12 our funds is to designate this system that will
- 13 facilitate a more efficient use of OHV funds in the

- 14 future by telling us where we need to spend money on
- 15 signing, where we need to spend money on restoration,
- 16 and where we need money on trail maintenance even. And
- 17 I think the fact that this is a third installment of
- 18 \$2 million, stopping this process right now and killing
- 19 this grant would really throw the other money that you
- 20 spent and invested in this process down the drain. And
- 21 I think it's good management to move forward at this
- 22 point, both in terms of what's good for the land and
- 23 what's good for the resources, what's good for the OHV
- 24 Trust Fund. So we would encourage 35 out of 35 under
- 25 category two.

132

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 And I think the long record of the region in
- 2 terms of their accountability would deserve at least
- 3 four out of four on A, B, and C of category three to
- 4 give them 12, and a final score of 97. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 6 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Center for
- 7 Sierra Nevada Conservation and Public Employees for
- 8 Environmental Responsibility. I agree with everything
- 9 that Mr. Schoradt just said, and I would reemphasize
- 10 it. I would like to add that, you know, it's easy to
- 11 say we don't want to send money out of Sacramento, but
- 12 Sacramento isn't where people are riding their
- 13 motorcycles and dune buggies. They're riding it on the
- 14 public lands, and that's where the management problems
- are, and that's where the money needs to be spent.
- 16 This is the last installment of -- you know,

- 17 several years of \$2 million. Under category two, we
- 18 saw that last year Ms. Mick's salary was not funded by
- 19 this program. The region and the Forest Service picked
- 20 that up, and they're going to be funding the balance
- 21 after this year of completing route designation. The
- 22 Forest Service did not designate any money for route
- 23 designation in California or anywhere else. The
- 24 instructions were for directors to take funding out of
- 25 other existing programs. And as we all know, there is 133

- 1 not enough in those other programs to accomplish their
- 2 own directives, let alone, you know, find money for a
- 3 new one. And so that's why California is the state
- 4 where route designation is actually happening. And it
- 5 is because of the vision of the Commission, and the
- 6 Division, and the region, and the partnerships that
- 7 were intended by the memorandum of intent. And I think
- 8 we need to hold up our end of it. I think the region
- 9 is holding up its end. They're moving the forest
- 10 along. I know it's not been easy, but it is happening.
- 11 Several forests that I'm engaged with on route
- 12 designation have just done a tremendous job of engaging
- 13 the public, you know, keeping people apprised, getting
- 14 out on the ground, using volunteers. It's something
- 15 that -- you know, there's a lot needed to be done.
- 16 It's happening now. The region is very, very
- 17 encouraging. And some forests have been dragged along,
- 18 you know, somewhat unwillingly, but everybody is
- 19 moving. There is a lot of momentum now, and I think we

- 20 would just be -- you know, our earlier investments in
- 21 this would be somewhat wasted if we don't, you know,
- 22 finish the job. And that's what we said we would do,
- 23 and I think we need to do it. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
- TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone. Yes, I just wanted

 134

- 1 to say one thing. I believe last year this grant was
- 2 actually funded at 1.6 million. So as far as the
- 3 argument and the memorandum of intent that it has to be
- 4 fully funded, I think you already blew that once, so
- 5 it's really a truly null and void argument. Thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Excuse me, Mr. Tammone.
- 7 I don't think that we have blown that one, in your
- 8 words. Actually, last year the grant was funded at
- 9 \$2 million.
- 10 TOM TAMMONE: I thought it was 1.6. I thought
- 11 the year before was supposed to be 2.2, whatever. I
- 12 don't really think that's an issue.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
- 14 DAVE PICKETT: Next, like I was going to get a
- 15 haircut. Dave Pickett, District 36, Motorcycle Sports
- 16 Committee. I've addressed this issue with this
- 17 Commission for a number of years. Ms. Schambach and
- 18 Mr. Schoradt made a comment that the installments of
- 19 payments were the third level. I'd like clarification.
- 20 I thought it was four payments have been made that were
- 21 \$8 million.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: This is the fourth and final.

23 DAVE PICKETT: Fourth and final. 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: This is the end. This is 25 the fourth and final on our MOU. 135 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010 1 DAVE PICKETT: So \$6 million have thus been paid 2 already for this project? 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: You'll have to ask staff. 4 DAVE PICKETT: I just want the members of the 5 public to know the exact amount that's gone, because it confused me, too. 6 7 Anyways, again, same thing I've said before, 8 California is the only state that is using OHV funds to 9 do this. The other 49 states that I know of have not 10 got state funding for this process. Also, the prior 11 speaker mentioned if funding is available. And coming 12 out of this funding pot, at the current Division recommendations means it's at the bottom of the rung, 13 14 so it wouldn't be funded at Division's recommendations. 15 But if past history has proven anything on this particular grant, it will probably be escalated to the 16 17 top 70 plus percent to ensure funding, which will take 18 an awful lot of on-the-ground grants and eliminate them 19 from being funded. 20 So to me what that is saying is that if it goes 21 with Division, it will be in the 40, it may not be 22 funded. You'll have to bump it up to get funded, which 23 is going to knock out people on the ground. My earlier 24 public comments stand. I would like legal counsel 25 opinion on an MOU -- or MOI versus the competitive 136

2007-26-01

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

	1	grant	process.	Thank	you	very	much.
--	---	-------	----------	-------	-----	------	-------

- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 3 Back to the Commission for questions of
- 4 applicant or comments, then discussion.
- 5 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm curious how much
- 6 money has been spent on the route designations. I had
- 7 some old notes that said 3.8 million. I don't know
- 8 what that one is for. Maybe that's not applicable to
- 9 the same project and then three twos. So has it been
- 10 three twos and then now a fourth two?
- 11 KATHLEEN MICK: That's correct.
- 12 CHIEF JENKINS: I think the 3.8 you're referring
- 13 to -- excuse me, I didn't mean to interrupt.
- 14 KATHLEEN MICK: No, that's okay.
- 15 CHIEF JENKINS: I think the other number you
- 16 were looking at, weren't there two different
- 17 cooperative agreements that were signed prior to the
- 18 MOI, and I think that's the 3.8.
- 19 KATHLEEN MICK: That's correct, the chief of the
- 20 Division has it correct that there was a \$2 million and
- 21 1.8. The two million was in 2001 that was agreed to
- 22 for inventory. Then there was a 1.8 that would give it
- 23 to inventory. Then the MOU kicked in in 2003, which
- 24 said directly from the MOU that those funds would be
- 25 for inventory, and then the other funds would be funded
 137

	1	for rout	e designation	processes	, fundi na	for	rou
--	---	----------	---------------	-----------	------------	-----	-----

- 2 designation process is subject to future appropriations
- 3 by the California Legislature and allocation for funds
- 4 for grants by future commissions. There is also a
- 5 piece in here that talks about the Commission in the
- 6 MOU giving the Division policy in regard to future
- 7 applications. So I hope that part answers your
- 8 question.
- 9 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I have two more quick
- 10 parts to that. So you get paid after you spend the
- 11 money, right?
- 12 KATHLEEN MICK: That's correct.
- 13 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Has most all of that
- 14 money been spent or drawn down on or is there a big pot
- 15 there still?
- 16 KATHLEEN MICK: We work on a reimbursable basis,
- 17 so basically we create a job code that has an empty
- 18 bank account that follows it. Because we have a
- 19 project agreement with the Division, we have a job
- 20 code. We go forth, we do work. We collect transaction
- 21 registers, which are the expenditure data.
- 22 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: So is that account
- 23 zeroing out basically every year.
- 24 KATHLEEN MICK: That is correct.
- 25 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: There is not \$2 or \$3

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 9

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 million still in it?
- 2 KATHLEEN MICK: No, there is not.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: \$91 is what I heard. Page 125

4	KATHLEEN MICK: I would like to address a couple
5	of issues, if I may, that are a little bit incorrect.
6	First of all, there's been a misnomer that the Forest
7	Service is not contributing any money to this project.
8	We indicated a contribution in our application;
9	however, I didn't feel in the application it would be
10	appropriate to put future contributions that had yet
11	not occurred.
12	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Good thinking.
13	KATHLEEN MICK: From the inventory because
14	that would be misleading. So from the inventory phase
15	until now, for every aspect of route designation, there
16	has been a requirement from the National Forest in
17	California and the Humboldt-Toiyabe, which has lands in
18	California, for every three dollars of grant funds that
19	are used for route designation, the Forest is required
20	to kick in a dollar. So that's 25 percent. On top of
21	that, an analysis, and this is a standard number
22	developed by the Washington office, we're finding it
23	all over the nation, that to do the YaYe's work, the
24	NEPA work for the decision-making in route designation,
25	that it's costing anywhere from \$500,000 to \$1.5
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 million at the end and development of an EIS to have a

2 Record of Decision.

I think if you add up the monies that you folks

4 have allocated to the Forest thus far, and we are

5 successful today to get the other \$2 million, that will

6 not add up to \$19 million. So the Forest Service is Page 126

- 7 and will be contributing to this project. And when it
- 8 comes out at the end, it will almost be a half/half
- 9 situation. So I just wanted to bring that to light
- 10 that we are contributing a significant amount of money.
- 11 Ms. Schambach also brought up that there was
- 12 supposed to be some earmarked money for route
- 13 designation that has not occurred in the Washington
- 14 office. The OHV community mentioned that the chief
- 15 said that we would pay for route designation in the
- 16 other states. The Forests are doing that, but they're
- 17 doing that at the sacrifice to other programs. There
- 18 are not any new funds. So they're taking funding from
- 19 watershed, wildlife, roads, trails, everywhere they can
- 20 get, and they're putting it toward route designation,
- 21 as are we.
- 22 Mr. Klusman brought up the piece about routes
- 23 and private property. I don't know what the other
- 24 forests have told him. The forest had direct and clear
- 25 information from the region as to how to deal with

140

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 routes on private property. As Commissioner Thomas
- 2 pointed out yesterday, we're at central command. We
- 3 have 18 national forests. The forest supervisors don't
- 4 always listen to us, particularly me because I have no
- 5 line authority and no decision-making authority, but
- 6 they do listen to their regional forester. They have
- 7 clear direction on what to do for private property.
- 8 I'm more than willing to get that information to
- 9 Mr. Klusman.

10	And also further state that the United States
11	Forest Service has no ability to designate routes on
12	private property. That would be like us saying we
13	could just go ahead and go across your lawn and you'll
14	be okay. We cannot do that. We have to have the
15	permission of the private landowner, and I'm sure you
16	can all respect that. If the private landowner doesn't
17	want to give that right, even after a community has
18	been using the routes for 30 years, then they can do
19	so. There are Legal ways to go about those issues.
20	Different forests do have the ability, even within the
21	"Farringtonian" pyramid, to, you know, address things
22	at a local level. And so we're trying to do that with
23	the region coming in and giving standardization and
24	consistency. That's our role and responsibility.
25	So if four supervisors choose to pursue either 141
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 prescriptive easements or things like that, they can do
- 2 that. They may decide to just set some of the routes
- 3 that go across private property aside until they can
- 4 work some of the easements out. This big route
- 5 designation project that we have going is not the
- 6 end-all, be-all. It's to move forward and implement
- 7 our new travel management rule, which is based on Nixon
- 8 and Carter -- and I'll wrap up -- Nixon's and Carter's
- 9 stuff.
- 10 But basically, you know, we can't do things that
- 11 are outside of the law. You made me lose my train of
- 12 thought, but anyway.

2007_26_01

	2007-26-01
13	One final thing, the dates for the inventory,
14	with all due respect, Mr. Klusman, you are incorrect,
15	and I can show you factually on paper. We committed to
16	a certain inventory. That inventory has all been
17	completed. There were two forests that had an extended
18	timeline in the MOI. They were the Shasta-Trinity and
19	the Klamath because they had to do forest plan
20	amendments. Our new rule takes that away so they still
21	have the extended timeline because they started late.
22	But other than that, the inventory in this region is
23	completely finished.
24	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Other questions,
25	comments?
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I just have a question
2	that only requires a brief answer.
3	KATHLEEN MICK: I'm not sure I have one of those
4	today, but I'll try.
5	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: You might have already
6	touched on there, in fact, you probably did somewhere
7	in there. Can you address three things for me. First,
8	the completion of private projects within the time
9	frame provided; second, the history of fiscal
10	accountability with similar grants; and third, history
11	of successful and timely implementation of planning
12	results and recommendations?
13	KATHLEEN MICK: Yes, I can. In respect to the

others, the regional office has completed all of their Page 129 $\,$ 15

timely implementation of projects, this type and all

14

- 16 projects on time. There have been some exceptions.
- 17 Those exceptions have resulted in a time extension.
- 18 Those time extensions were granted either, A, by the
- 19 Commission, if it was during a rescope, or, B, by the
- 20 Division and with their support and approval. But once
- 21 we had a time extension, we hit that time extension
- 22 date.
- 23 As I mentioned we received \$2 million for
- 24 OR-2-SW-15. We spent all of it but \$91. In terms of
- 25 OR-2-SW-21, which was for route inventory phase two in

- 1 2002, we spent all but \$44. That work has been
- 2 complete. We have maps available on our websites to
- 3 show that.
- 4 And what was the last question, did I address it
- 5 all?
- 6 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Successful and timely
- 7 implementation of planning results and recommendations.
- 8 KATHLEEN MICK: Yes, we have hit all of our
- 9 timelines within route designation thus far. We are on
- 10 track. We in -- most of the forests are in steps three
- 11 and four. Some of them are in a hybrid three and four,
- 12 which is the public collaboration and the beginning of
- 13 NEPA, except for the Shasta-Trinity and the Klamath.
- 14 And I believe, actually, the Klamath is ahead of
- 15 schedul e.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other questions, requests for
- 18 clarification, definition of "Farringtonian" pyramid. Page 130

19 You got that? Okay. Do I have a moti	19	You got	that?	0kav.	Do I	have	a moti	on?
---	----	---------	-------	-------	------	------	--------	-----

- 20 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I'll make a motion.
- 21 Probably comes as very little surprise to anyone in the
- 22 room here that I think this is probably the single most
- 23 important grant that we will consider today or
- 24 yesterday or throughout this process. I won't go into
- 25 the merits of the route designation. I think you all

- 1 have probably heard that from me enough before. I do
- 2 think it's important. I think the words of the speaker
- 3 who said that California is leading the nation in this
- 4 effort is right on. And I think that this is going to
- 5 be the future of OHV recreation and lead to improved
- 6 management and opportunity on all of our national
- 7 forests.
- 8 As to the specifics of this application, I think
- 9 that the scores are considerably lower than they should
- 10 be. I'm going to move to increase the scores as
- 11 follows: Under item number one, enhancing or
- 12 protecting OHV opportunity, I think that warrants a
- 13 score of 50 out of 50. Under item number two,
- 14 efficient use of OHV Trust funds -- thank you,
- 15 Ms. Mick, for bringing up the point of the Forest
- 16 Service maps. I'm just looking at the application page
- 17 here, which describes your agency's contribution of
- 18 1.7 million, the 1.5 million you've already put in, the
- 19 350 hours of volunteer time, and the CCC as a partner,
- 20 so I'll increase that score to 32 out of 35. And on
- 21 the completion of previous projects, score of 15 out of Page 131

- 22 15, for a final score of 97.
- 23 I think you have shown adequately that all of
- 24 the previous grants that the Commission has provided,
- 25 you've implemented within the time frame provided,

145

- 1 you've stayed to your timelines, your fiscal
- 2 accountability is right on, and you're implementing the
- 3 recommendations as we're starting to see EAs come out
- 4 implementing these projects. So you have done
- 5 everything that we have asked of you. You've done
- 6 everything that you've committed to do, and I can't see
- 7 a score of two out of 15 for your history of
- 8 successfully implementing similar projects. So that's
- 9 my motion.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll second that.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 12 by Commissioner Thomas. Any further questions? All
- 13 those in favor?
- 14 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 16 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Aye.
- 17 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries.
- 19 KATHLEEN MICK: Thank you.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Line item 78, please.
- 21 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line number 78, OR-815,
- 22 Yolo County Parks Department. They requested \$261,000.
- 23 Sorry about that.
- 24 Line number 73, OR-1-CD-350 Palm Springs, South Page 132

25 Coast Field Office. They requested \$76,020. They

146

- 1 received a score of 47, at 40 percent for an amount of
- 2 \$30,408. And they did submit supplemental information
- 3 and did provide new information.
- 4 JIM KEELER: Good afternoon, members of the
- 5 Commission and OHMRV staff. Mona Daniels had
- 6 originally come down to give this presentation. Jim
- 7 Keeler, BLM State Office. Mona Daniels from Palm
- 8 Springs was here yesterday with Fred Del Campo, her
- 9 chief ranger, to describe to you better this project.
- 10 You're going to have to put up with me and Mr. Weigand
- 11 trying to do the Mona and Fred show for you.
- 12 The packet we just had handed out to you on the
- 13 back has an area map to what we're referring to as this
- 14 Coors Canyon area. What we'd like to do is to focus
- 15 all of the use from the adjoining areas into a small
- 16 more manageable mini open area. We'd like to explore
- 17 the possibility of doing that with a planning grant.
- 18 JIM WEIGAND: My name is Jim Weigand. I'm the
- 19 ecologist at the California State Office of BLM, and I
- 20 wanted to report on a couple of the elements that we
- 21 find very important here.
- There is a lot of OHV travel and recreation in
- 23 the area around Blythe that is not on BLM land or is
- 24 not legal. And BLM is hoping that through this project
- 25 they can be responsible to the greater Blythe community 14

- 1 and help to deflect a lot of the damage that's
- 2 occurring on both private and public lands in the area
- 3 by developing this small OHV open area.
- 4 And the areas of concern in the area where OHV
- 5 illegal riding has occurred is, first of all, in the
- 6 Goose Flats unit of the California Department of Fish
- 7 and Game. That's river rine habitat right on the
- 8 Colorado River. That's key important ecological
- 9 habitat. And the second major concern is that for the
- 10 Paloverde Valley Irrigation District, many of the
- 11 canals are being ridden on illegally. And the impacts
- 12 to the canals threaten their engineering stability.
- 13 And so in order to benefit farms, homes, and key
- 14 wildlife habitat not managed by BLM but managed by
- other agencies, BLM is proposing the Coors Canyon area
- 16 to provide an opportunity to local residents,
- 17 particularly local youth, so that they can have a
- 18 legitimate legal place to ride and enjoy motorized
- 19 recreation without harming the community and without
- 20 providing any cause for ecological damage.
- 21 This has the advantage of providing a venue for
- 22 training through the PAL program and through support
- 23 and auspices of the Blythe Police Department, the
- 24 support of the chamber of commerce and the Riverside
- 25 County Sheriff. So, again, this is BLM's attempt to

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

148

1 meet existing and future needs and to help, as a good

- 2 neighbor, improve the riding opportunities and
- 3 environmental quality for the Blythe area. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comment on
- 5 this?
- 6 JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners
- 7 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- 8 Clubs and United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. I know
- 9 you're probably getting tired of hearing the broken
- 10 record about planning for recreation, but this is one
- 11 of the prime examples of beginning to plan for
- 12 recreation and the growth of recreation. First of all,
- in this particular area that's being proposed for the
- 14 planning grant review is in a previously disturbed
- 15 area. I guess some of this area was an aggregate and
- 16 sand quarry for a number of years. So it's not
- 17 pristine desert land. It's in the even desert land
- 18 that General Patton used. This is a mining operation
- 19 that now they're looking to turn into a usable area.
- 20 And as Mr. Weigand pointed out that, yes, this goes a
- 21 significant ways towards reducing the potential damage
- 22 to the Colorado River area. This gives people an area
- 23 where they can go to. It directs them to something so
- 24 they can go there, and it gets people out of other
- 25 sensitive areas.

149

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 We're looking at the growth. We are
- 2 experiencing a growth of recreation in that Blythe
- 3 area. The recreation is somewhat from the residents of
- 4 Blythe, but it's also from out of state. You know,

- 5 it's coming from in Arizona because that's a closed
- 6 area. So this is an example of where, yes, the growth
- 7 of recreation is there, the demand is there. Now we
- 8 just need to plan for the recreation growth and
- 9 actually provide an opportunity in order to reduce the
- 10 potential serious impacts in other sensitive areas.
- 11 To that extent, in that number one it basically
- 12 fills a full 50 classification all the way through
- 13 because it is an extremely important category. And as
- 14 far as the partnership, yes, they've got partnership.
- 15 They've got the local community on board with it. So,
- 16 again, that receives -- or should receive a high score
- 17 of at lowest a 30. So I'd like to see you consider
- 18 that, look at this as being a grant that is worth
- 19 funding. Thank you.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Questions and
- 21 comments? Motion.
- 22 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I have a question. Do you
- 23 have any information on completion of prior projects
- 24 within the time frame under category three, fiscal
- 25 accountability? Can you speak to that at all?

150

- 1 JIM KEELER: I have Mona's statement here. It
- 2 says, "Since 2001, we have worked six projects within
- 3 our office while meeting requirements and deadlines.
- 4 No audits have been completed since 2001. We'd like to
- 5 see a score of 15 out of 15." That was her.
- 6 JIM WEIGAND: I would also add that there is a
- 7 project in that immediate area that is the restoration

- 8 in the wilderness area in the Big Marias and in the
- 9 Riverside Mountains that was also undertaken, and that
- 10 was also successfully completed. That was under the
- 11 California Desert District, but it was in that area, as
- 12 well.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So there were seven projects
- 14 funded by the Commission?
- 15 JIM WEIGAND: Yes.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other questions or comments?
- 17 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I know of no particular
- 18 problems with any of the applications, prior
- 19 applications, and I suggest in category three adding 12
- 20 points there.
- 21 Under preventing off-road travel and solving
- 22 operational maintenance, law enforcement, environmental
- 23 use conflicts, I think I'd add another five points in
- 24 category one, making that 36. And I don't -- I have no
- 25 information to change any scores in category two, so.

- 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Three was changed to 12,
- 2 right?
- 3 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Three was changed to 12.
- 4 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: We're waiting for them.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So a final score of 64.
- 6 You said you suggested. Are you making a
- 7 motion?
- 8 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes, I'll make that
- 9 motion.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second.

2007-26-01 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded. 11 12 Any discussion? All those in favor? 13 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.) 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries. We're an hour and 20 minutes into this session, 15 I'd like to finish one more, and that would be 78. 16 17 OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Line 78, OR-815, Yolo 18 County Parks Department. They requested \$261,000. 19 They received a score of 55, at 50 percent for a 20 funding determination of \$130,500. The applicant did 21 not provide supplemental information. 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Has the applicant supplied a 23 body? I guess not. Any questions or comments? 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Were they here? Did they check in at all with staff ever? 25 152 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I requested that this 1 2 come off Consent. I don't know if the applicant was ever here or not. 3 Move staff recommendation. 4 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Second. 5 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I will speak to it before 7 you get too far. There was a communication on the 8 county counsel's web, which is an internal device that 9 county counsels about the state use, about Yolo County 10 having a problem being overrun with OHV illegal use in 11 their parks along Cache Creek and in the watershed. 12 And this county counsel was asking another county 13 counsel, what do I do about it. I got the referral

- 14 because I work with these lawyers. And I imagine this
- 15 grant application is probably part of their flailing
- 16 around to deal with the problem.
- We should be aware that those communications
- 18 were bouncing around various county counsel offices and
- 19 echoed by two other counties that said -- they said,
- 20 yes, we don't know what to do, we're having a really
- 21 terrible problem. This issue is not going to go away.
- 22 We're not going to solve it today, but this Division
- 23 needs to get on the ball with the organized law
- 24 enforcement side, an organized law enforcement program.
- 25 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Well, if I may.

153

- 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: We've tried.
- DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: To your question, Yolo
- 3 County has come in and met with us. They have been --
- 4 and I am disappointed they aren't here today. I don't
- 5 know if they were here earlier or not. I think, as
- 6 Commissioner Thomas just alluded to, this is an example
- 7 of where a county is trying to proactively deal with an
- 8 issue where they do have illegal riding, where they do
- 9 have the demand. So they're trying to come up with a
- 10 solution. They've come in and met with us twice to try
- and understand the program prior to when they sent in
- 12 and submitted the application. So there is a desire I
- 13 think to try and come up with a meaningful solution.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Just a question of
- 15 Commissioner Prizmich. In your former life, the
- 16 sheriff's association, do they have an active group

- 17 addressing these issues across the state?
- 18 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: You mean the OHV issues?
- 19 I'm sitting here.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: True.
- 21 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: There have been
- 22 discussions. We have quarterly meetings and one yearly
- 23 meeting. And at virtually every meeting there are
- 24 discussions with me relative to the OHV grants and the
- 25 issues surrounding this. But inevitably in those

154

- 1 discussions, the problems of OHV policing come up. So
- 2 it's not a specific, but a very generalized commentary.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I certainly know that there
- 4 are a number of counties that are truly impacted, that
- 5 these counties could be referred to for potential
- 6 solutions beyond money from this Commission.
- 7 Motion and second, all those in favor?
- 8 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 10 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Before we move on, I will
- 11 just make one quick comment on this one, since I know a
- 12 little bit about it since I'm originally from Yolo
- 13 County, is that I've heard those same things about the
- 14 enforcement problems in certain parts of the county.
- 15 And I think the county is trying to look at ways to
- 16 solve those and is kind of bumping into the same
- 17 problem we saw in Riverside where there is a lot of
- 18 illegal use, it's impacting private property and
- 19 resources, but no close legal place to ride.

- 20 So one of the reasons I had pulled this off of
- 21 Consent was in the hopes that county would show up and
- 22 might be able to increase it and allow this planning
- 23 project to move forward. Because I think it is
- 24 important to support those local efforts to address
- 25 local problems through a combination of enforcement and

- 1 providing new opportunities, and I think these local
- 2 parks are a good way to do that.
- COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I would agree. And just
- 4 to add on to that, that law enforcement efforts in
- 5 these specific areas are different, generally speaking,
- 6 than sheriffs or city police normally do. That's why
- 7 you see them coming here in droves for special
- 8 equipment. It takes special training, and so it's not
- 9 the kind of thing that can easily be folded into the
- 10 responsibility of a sheriff's office or a police
- 11 department.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I will talk to the Yolo
- 13 County folks, as well, and I hope they will come back
- 14 next year and fare better.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Just to tag on to that,
- 16 Commissioner Prizmich, I really do appreciate your
- 17 presence after you've retired. True commitment, so
- 18 thank you.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Have I been here for two
- 20 days?
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You're now no longer the
- 22 sheriff but you're still representing a constituency

- 23 and we appreciate it, or I do.
- 24 The masterful Cheryl needs a wrist break, so we
- 25 will be back at 2:50 to finish items 80 and 81. And 156

- 1 then we will have a brief discussion on criteria. I
- 2 think that we may want to fold that into tomorrow's
- 3 discussion with the general public, the annual public
- 4 workshop, as well. So be back in 14 minutes.
- 5 (Break taken in proceedings.)
- 6 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Larry Bellucci, grants
- 7 administrator. Chair Brissenden, now know why I'm
- 8 still here. I'll be announcing the last two. They are
- 9 scientific research grants, line number 80, OR-2-SW-39,
- 10 Pacific Southwest Region request requested \$1 million,
- 11 receives a score of 45, and a funding determination of
- 12 40 percent for \$400,000.
- 13 DIANA CRAIG: Good afternoon, I'm Diana Craig.
- 14 I'm a regional wildlife ecologist with the U.S. Forest
- 15 Service out of Vallejo. This project is the last field
- 16 season of the remaining three OHV wildlife studies that
- 17 we've been conducting in partnership with you guys, the
- 18 Commission, the Division, various researchers, U.S.
- 19 Fish and Wildlife Service, the Student Conservation
- 20 Association, environmental and community members and
- 21 the OHV users. As I hope many of you remember, we
- 22 developed and implemented these studies in response to
- 23 interests and concerns that were identified by the
- 24 Commission, environmental community, and OHV users.
- 25 One of the studies, the Martin study, has been

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 finished, and we should have a report for you in the
- 2 next few months. We will have to coordinate with you
- 3 as to how you'd like to have information transmitted to
- 4 you.
- 5 The other three studies are the Northern Spotted
- Owl study, Northern Gass Hawk study, and a study that's 6
- 7 looking at vertebrate assemblage including the prey
- 8 species for the other Martin, Gass hawk, and Northern
- 9 Spotted owl. Those three studies are what this project
- 10 is about.
- 11 The other thing I wanted to let you know,
- 12 although these studies are not fully completed, we have
- 13 enough data that now to begin looking at some
- 14 preliminary information to inform our route designation
- 15 process. And we're in the process of doing that right
- 16 now.
- 17 I would like to respectfully submit that the
- 18 project's application supports a score of 80 points.
- This is summarized in the handout that you have in 19
- 20 front of you. And I'm going to briefly summarize what
- 21 that information is and then be available to answer any
- 22 questions that you have.
- 23 In criterion number one, I believe that the
- 24 application supports a score of 29 out of 30 points,
- 25 and this information is summarized in the application

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

1	on	page	200	of	page	402,	essentially	, addresses
---	----	------	-----	----	------	------	-------------	-------------

- 2 subcriterion 1(c), the protection of critical wildlife
- 3 resources, particularly the species I mentioned
- 4 earlier.
- 5 I believe that criterion number two, the
- application supports a score of 24 out of 25 points. 6
- 7 Addressing both of the subcriterion 2(a) and 2(b) on
- page 200 of 402 of the application, basically that the 8
- 9 project will provide critical information to ensure
- 10 that OHV use is managed in such a way that it also
- 11 protects the critical wildlife resources of the species
- 12 I mentioned earlier.
- 13 Criterion number four, I believe the application
- 14 supports a score of ten out of ten points. I believe
- 15 all three of the subcomponents of that criterion were
- 16 addressed in the application on pages 197 to 198, and
- 17 also 198 to 200, as well as the detailed study plans
- 18 which were referenced in the application and are on
- 19 file with the Division.
- 20 And finally on criterion number six, I believe
- 21 that the application supports a score of ten out of 20
- Basically that both subcomponent 6(a) and 6(b) 22
- 23 are five points. The application supports five points
- 24 for each of those. I would be happy to address any
- 25 specific questions or give you additional information. 159

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 10 877-453-1010 916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 Thank you. Public comment on CHAIR BRISSENDEN:
- 2 this grant application?
- Bruce Brazil, California Enduro BRUCE BRAZIL: 3 Page 144

- 4 Riders Association. I would like to guide you over to
- 5 their cost and deliverable sheet for the vertebrate
- 6 assemblages focus study. And one of their items on
- 7 that is for vehicle maintenance. They've got \$46,000
- 8 in there. They don't mention any vehicle, what they're
- 9 going to do with it. Now, that \$46,000, that's more
- 10 than some of the grants, total grants requests that
- 11 other people have put in. I, for one, would like to
- 12 see some sort of clarification as to what that money is
- 13 going for.
- 14 Also, on the same page, for the staff amount,
- 15 last year's grant, which this is a continuation of last
- 16 year's, they only requested \$310,000 for staffing.
- 17 This year it's jumped to \$396,453. If you go down to
- 18 the bottom line, once again it's just for the
- 19 vertebrate assemblages study, last year's total was
- 20 \$400,000. This year is \$487,453. That's a 21.8
- 21 percent increase for doing the same thing they were
- 22 doing last year. I really don't think inflation has
- 23 been that high this year. Thank you.
- 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Before you go, give us the
- 25 page numbers you're offering off of so we can track

160

- 1 those. You say the face sheet.
- 2 BRUCE BRAZIL: Can I show you? You can pick the
- 3 page you want.
- 4 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Two of 17, research
- 5 project.
- 6 OHMVR STAFF ROACH: Commissioner Thomas, it is Page 145

	2007-26-01
7	volume six, page 195.
8	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you very much.
9	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Further comments?
10	JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
11	John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
12	Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. I applaud
13	the Forest Service for actually working and looking at
14	studies and doing studies. I agree it's important.
15	Especially where there is a direct impact or potential
16	of a direct impact by an OHV activity on that species.
17	That provides a nexus for putting OHV funds to work to
18	study what the problem is.
19	With this particular twist of this request, is
20	it takes it to the far side of something that is
21	recently attributed or could have a reasonable nexus to
22	OHV opportunity. In other words, the invertebrates, it
23	could prey on them. Well, it's some kind of a twist of
24	logic that something coming from the other side of the

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010

1 species. I believe the connection to OHV activities is

world could come around and now impact the particular

- 2 too far removed and therefore this is not something
- 3 that should be funded through the OHV Trust Fund. If
- it is a study that is that important, the Forest 4
- Service has other venues through which they can come up 5
- with grant money to fund it. Let's get it into the 6
- 7 proper category. The OHV Trust Fund is not that
- category. Thank you. 8

25

9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next. Page 146

10	BRENT SCHORADT: I'm Brent Schoradt with the					
11	California Wilderness Coalition, and I would like to					
12	show our support for this grant. I think it's					
13	particularly important to look at how off-road vehicle					
14	recreation is impacting a federally listed threatened					
15	species such as the Northern Spotted owl. I think the					
16	fact that we're looking at a threatened species that is					
17	listed under the Endangered Species Act warrants the					
18	higher score in category one, which would be protecting					
19	resources to avoid closure of OHV opportunity.					
20	And I think as we're going through land resource					
21	management plan revisions throughout the state, as well					
22	as route designations, it's key to know how off-road					
23	vehicles impact our threatened species, and this					
24	information is going to be very important in terms of					
25	designating where motorized use is appropriate and 162					
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax					

1 where it's not appropriate. And I would argue that if we don't look out into the future and do these studies 2 now, and we do designate routes and open up areas that 3 4 would negatively impact the species in the long run, we are going to be worse off in terms of having to close 5 areas because the species are negatively being impacted 6 7 because we didn't plan for the future. So I think this research will allow us to plan for the future and avoid 8 9 potential conflicts for the future. 10 And we would recommend full funding under 11 category one. So that would be 30 out of 30. And also

under category 2(a), it clearly states that the intent Page 147

12

- of this study is to implement the information and use
- 14 it to come up with future land management plans and
- 15 wildlife plans. So because the information will be
- 16 implemented and will be used, we recommend 25 out of 25
- 17 under category two, which would be an additional 12
- 18 points.
- 19 And then under category three, it states, there
- 20 is a \$311,000 matching funds, and there's also
- 21 collaboration, in-kind services from the U.S. Fish and
- 22 Wildlife Service, so we give ten out of ten under
- 23 category three; for a total new score of 78 out of a
- 24 hundred. Thanks.
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you, next.

163

- 1 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Public
- 2 Employees for Environmental Responsibility, PEER. I
- 3 support the funding at the levels and for the reasons
- 4 described by the applicant. This project is one -- I'm
- 5 not sure if this is the last -- this is the last of a
- 6 series. We've already made the determination that it
- 7 was appropriate to study these species and whether --
- 8 the question is, you know, is there an impact by OHVs,
- 9 and if so, what is it on these species. And, you know,
- 10 we decided that several years ago that it was
- 11 appropriate to spend the money to study them. We have
- 12 quite an investment in these studies that I think we
- 13 would protect by, you know, completing them. And it
- 14 would be fickle to abandon them at this point. So
- 15 again, I won't go through the criteria. I agree with Page 148

- 16 those given by the applicant. I think we -- I would
- 17 encourage you to fund this and complete these. Thanks.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
- 19 JIM WEIGAND: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
- 20 members of the Division and the public. My name is Jim
- 21 Weigand. I'm the ecologist at the BLM California State
- 22 Office. I was one of the original peer reviewers for
- 23 this project, and I want to make sure that people know
- 24 that I think that this was a very high-quality project.
- 25 I think it's impressive that the Commission sponsored 164

- 1 this work. I think it's incredibly important and
- 2 relevant to making motorized recreation sustainable in
- 3 California. This applies not only to Forest Service
- 4 lands, but also to BLM lands that are found within the
- 5 range of the Northwest Forest Plan and covers the range
- 6 of the three species, Northern Gass hawk, Pine Martin
- 7 and Northern Spotted owl on BLM Lands, as well.
- 8 In addition, there's another angle, and that is
- 9 that as the BLM in Oregon is doing its route
- 10 designation, the information from this study will be
- 11 very important and useful in doing route designation on
- 12 BLM lands in Oregon, as well that covers similar
- 13 habitats. So I would like for the Commission to
- 14 consider funding this and giving the grant application
- 15 a higher score. Thank you very much.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Next.
- 17 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone. I'm going to have to
- 18 agree with John Stewart on this. This is a very Page 149

19 important project, but perhaps it's something that th	19	important	proi ect.	but	perhaps	it's	somethi na	that	the
--	----	-----------	-----------	-----	---------	------	------------	------	-----

- 20 government should be funding on its own; however, if
- 21 you look at the regulations, this does go along with
- 22 the criteria, and I'm going to have to say scoring to
- 23 staff recommendations. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any further comments?
- 25 Discussion from the Commission?

165

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-49

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I have a question for the
- 2 applicant. Ms. Craig, can you address a couple of
- 3 criteria here that I'm not sure about, demonstrated
- 4 outcomes from prior projects that resulted in usable
- 5 management information and other pertinent information
- 6 such as fiscal accountability with similar grants,
- 7 et cetera. I know this is the fifth of five years on
- 8 this project, so maybe you can address those two items.
- 9 DIANA CRAIG: Yes, the first question you had
- 10 was experience with other projects that have resulted
- in management.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Usable management
- 13 information.
- 14 DIANA CRAIG: Useable management information. I
- 15 guess I could address that in two ways. All of the
- 16 researchers, the principal investigators of these
- 17 studies have been involved in forest management and
- 18 recreation management research that have actually
- 19 resulted in forest use in management activities, and I
- 20 can provide a list of references for that. For
- 21 example, Bill Sylinski, the mountain researcher, has Page 150

- 22 done quite a bit of work. Ann Boles, who is our sound
- 23 person, has informed many management decisions
- 24 throughout the United States on sound issues -- effects
- 25 of sound on wildlife, noise on wildlife. And then Pat 166

- 1 Manley, Dr. Pat Manley, who is doing our vertebrate
- 2 assemblage study has done much work on monitoring
- 3 strategies that have been implemented throughout the
- 4 United States, as well. So from that piece, the
- 5 individual researchers have experienced.
- 6 In addition, we have three full projects that
- 7 have been completely dedicated to this project. Before
- 8 that we were under Dr. Farrington's grants, but as
- 9 OR--2-SW-24, 25 and 33 we've had, all of those have
- 10 been given by the Commission. We definitely appreciate
- 11 that. We've been managing those well and expending
- 12 those out and billing them on time.
- 13 The information from those studies has -- we
- 14 haven't been able to completely utilize the information
- in management because we were being responsible in a
- 16 scientific manner to make sure that we had the studies
- in a place where they were statistically meaningful, so
- 18 we didn't want to do that. But we were watching the
- 19 studies and working with the researchers to make sure
- 20 that if something of concern, management concern came
- 21 up, we would implement those into our WHPS for each of
- 22 the forests in addition -- as route designation was
- 23 going through and format with the route designation
- 24 process, not much has come up at this point in time. Page 151

We're now at a point where there is sufficient

167

- 1 information in the studies, and the Martin study is
- 2 done, that we're starting to inform the route
- 3 designation process in reality, and you'll be seeing
- 4 that information in designation and in next year's
- 5 WHPS, be seeing some actual data coming out of that.
- 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Question. Have you or any
- 7 of your researchers published the results of this study
- 8 or partial results?
- 9 DIANA CRAIG: No, I don't believe so. There has
- 10 been some oral presentations at the Wildlife Society
- 11 western section meetings, I believe, on the actual
- 12 study itself that it was going forward and some of the
- 13 study design features of the studies which all of these
- 14 studies are state of the art. The researchers had to
- 15 create ways to measure OHV use, to measure sound in a
- 16 meaningful way, and to measure -- so anyway that piece,
- 17 but the results themselves are not finished, and so
- 18 they have not been published.
- 19 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: My concern is this, and
- 20 this is not the first time I brought this up, but is
- 21 there transference of information to those that would
- 22 be interested and would use your information. I attend
- 23 Wildlife Society meetings. I read posters.
- 24 understand this, and I have never received anything in
- 25 my capacity as in this job, now that we've been funding 168

1	it for five years. I would be interested, and I					
2	brought it up before, if the Department of Fish and					
3	Game has peer reviewed any of your work so that the					
4	spotted owl expert at DFG who has spent his career					
5	working on spotted owl would benefit from this					
6	material.					
7	And I may be completely wrong you'll correct					
8	me, I'm sure that we don't do a good job sharing and					
9	transferring biological information into both the					
10	actors who manage resources and scientific community					
11	who we collaborate with. Is that a fair					
12	DIANA CRAIG: That's possibly true in general					
13	for all wildlife studies. This particular one, I would					
14	have to look at the peer reviewers to see if Fish and					
15	Game was involved. But basically the strategy that					
16	we're going to be using, and, of course, if you wish us					
17	to do something different we can add that. For					
18	example, the Martin study is done. We're in the					
19	process the report will be finalized I would assume					
20	in the next month, and that is ready for prime time.					
21	It will be published as part of the scientific					
22	community, but we also wish to share that with the					
23	nonscientific public, in whatever manner that would be.					
24	We could give an oral report to this Division, the					
25	Commission, but the other piece of that is					
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax					

1

- 2 sit down, read papers all the time.
- 3 DIANA CRAIG: Okay. We can send the paper. And
- 4 then the information will be available to our peers at
- 5 California Department of Fish and Game. We can also
- 6 visit with them more so to make sure that they are
- 7 aware of the information and use it. They haven't been
- 8 engaged in this program that much. It has not been a
- 9 priority for them.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Have you invited them?
- 11 DIANA CRAIG: Yes, we have.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And have you offered them
- 13 funding to spend their time?
- 14 DIANA CRAIG: No, we probably haven't done that.
- 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And the collaboration and
- 16 management of wildlife in this state is certainly more
- 17 than just the federal government, and we would hope --
- and I think some of my fellow committee members may
- 19 remember I brought this up before.
- 20 So I don't know what else you can do except vote
- 21 no on the grants to get attention to the idea, which we
- 22 cooperate, which is hardly an exercise in cooperation.
- 23 But we haven't been able to get the cooperation by
- 24 bringing up the issue, and I wish you would have done
- 25 more.

170

- 1 Let me ask a more technical matter on this.
- 2 There is \$150,000 in overhead -- or actually it's more
- 3 than that, 80, 70 and 38, so that's almost \$190,000 in
- 4 overhead -- administration it's called. What is that

5 for?

- 6 DIANA CRAIG: That is for each of the -- it's
- 7 the donated costs from ourselves, from each of the --
- 8 we have the University of Washington, Humboldt State
- 9 University, Pacific Southwest Research Station, and
- 10 Hub Sea World are denoting all of their overhead costs,
- and that includes costs for the billing, for basically
- 12 their phones, and computer time, and electricity and
- 13 that sort of thing. You'll see grants typically are 20
- 14 percent usually of that kind of thing.
- 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I think you're billing us
- 16 for them. That's what these sheets say.
- 17 DIANA CRAIG: That certainly is not the intent.
- 18 I apologize if that's -- it's under the match category,
- 19 I believe.
- 20 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No, it's under other
- 21 administrative costs.
- 22 DIANA CRAIG: Right, and then you see it pulls
- 23 over, the subtotal is the costs, the match is what the
- 24 match is, and the last category grant is what we're
- 25 actually asking for. So that's a good question.

SCRIPE DEDORTING & LEGAL CORVING

171

- 1 The subtotal comes from up above. If you're
- 2 looking at page 194 of 402, is that the page you're on,
- 3 sir?
- 4 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I was on the other, but
- 5 that works, too.
- 6 DIANA CRAIG: You'll see that the costs up above
- 7 are under contracts. So that total costs is all

- 8 contracts, what we're asking for. The administrative
- 9 costs is part of the match.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I see.
- 11 DI ANA CRAIG: There should be no -- we have no
- 12 intent of asking for administrative costs, so there
- 13 should be none in there. If there is, it is definitely
- 14 a mistake, and I apologize.
- 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I thought we had a policy
- 16 on that.
- 17 DIANA CRAIG: Yes, I did not ask for any.
- 18 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I think our policy
- 19 prohibits us from spending, doesn't it? Don't we have
- 20 a policy that says we're not going to spend
- 21 administrative costs? I think staff will probably
- 22 correct me.
- DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: I believe it's ten percent,
- 24 it was not above ten percent.
- 25 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: We have a ten percent cap.

- 1 Thank you. So it sounds like we're consistent. So
- 2 these are predominantly subcontracted? For instance,
- 3 are the contracts -- in the Gass hawk, we just have two
- 4 contracts, but no staff. So that would all be
- 5 contracted work.
- 6 DI ANA CRAIG: Yes, so we send -- we have an
- 7 agreement with Humboldt State University, and they do
- 8 all of the staffing, yes.
- 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Okay. And now in the next
- 10 grant, which is -- what is it called, Studies and

- 11 Scientific Projects -- vertebrate assemblages. In the
- 12 vertebrate assemblages we have, it looks like -- is
- 13 that three federal staff and then Hub Sea World is a
- 14 contractor?
- 15 DIANA CRAIG: Right. So the principal
- 16 investigators for the wildlife portions of the study
- 17 are with our reserve portion of the Forest Service,
- 18 Pacific Southwest Research Station, and we're able to
- 19 share funds fairly across borders. So that's why we
- 20 dealt it that way. So those folks are doing the actual
- 21 fieldwork for monitoring the wildlife, and then we
- 22 would work with Hub Sea World to do the sound noise
- 23 monitoring.
- 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The \$46,000 for vehicles,
- 25 that's a lot of money for maintenance.

173

- 1 DIANA CRAIG: It's actually they -- that's the
- 2 full costs for using. It's not just maintenance. It's
- 3 actually obtaining the vehicle for use in the field.
- 4 Usually, oftentimes they will try and borrow existing
- 5 government vehicles. If those are not available, we
- 6 rent them for the summer.
- 7 This is a very expensive project because we're
- 8 looking at so many different species, and there is
- 9 quite a bit of protocol, so there is a lot of field
- 10 crew in this study.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Well, thank you. I'd like
- 12 to see any copies of -- I'm not going to condition the
- 13 grant, but it would be good administration for you to

- 14 share the studies periodically with at least myself and
- 15 there may be others on the Commission who are
- 16 interested.
- 17 DIANA CRAIG: I'll do that.
- 18 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I recently attended the
- 19 Shasta Tehama Wildlife Society, and they announced the
- 20 DNA work on the new species of fox that is located in
- 21 the Plumas National Forest and adjacent to Lassen. And
- 22 the amount of information in the community of a new
- 23 species of fox is zero. People don't even know it's
- 24 out there. That's the kind -- there seems, to me as
- 25 somebody who is not immersed in biology, that there is 174

- 1 absolutely -- no, there is a minimal amount of
- 2 transference of the biological data that's occurring
- 3 inside of these academic studies, and the policy people
- 4 that are approving subdivisions and developing streams
- 5 outside and within the habitat areas of these species.
- 6 And you're not helping if you just keep the study to
- 7 yourself.
- 8 DIANA CRAIG: I completely understand what
- 9 you're saying, and we will definitely -- this
- 10 information will be distributed far and wide since
- 11 we've had so many people involved in the studies.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other questions or comments?
- 13 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I don't want to distract
- 14 from this particular grant. It's a conversation for a
- 15 different time, but I found that the information
- 16 provided by the Los Padres on the implementation of

- 17 their wildlife habitat protection plan was extremely
- 18 helpful. And at some point, I won't ask it of you now,
- 19 but at some point I think it would be great for this
- 20 Commission to receive reports like that from all of the
- 21 forests, which to me shows how all of this funding that
- 22 we spend on these studies and so on, and these habitat
- 23 plans that we require applicants to complete, how they
- 24 actually are implemented and affect management, and
- 25 protect resources, and help sustain opportunities. So 175

- 1 at some point I'd like to have that conversation.
- 2 DIANA CRAIG: Okay. We will work on that.
- 3 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Were you asking that of
- 4 their staff or Division staff?
- 5 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: No, of the Forest
- 6 Servi ce.
- 7 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: But I would have kind of
- 8 the same question. If this is the fifth of five years,
- 9 and there hasn't been anything published, I agree
- 10 wholeheartedly with Hal. It seems like analyst
- 11 paralysis without anything coming out that people can
- 12 use. I just feel like the forestry department has gone
- 13 in the front door, the back door, and now they're
- 14 reaching in the windows for money.
- 15 DIANA CRAIG: If I can just clarify, this would
- 16 be the third full field season for these studies, and
- 17 the preceding year -- I don't know that we've gotten
- 18 five years of funding. We got some initial funding
- 19 through Dr. Farrington's grant that first year to do

- 20 some preliminary work, but it was about a little over
- 21 maybe \$200,000, so it wasn't enough to do the full
- 22 studi es.
- 23 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: And I'm sure there's
- been ample justification when you go to submit the
- 25 forms to collect the money, but I would like to see,

176

- 1 and that's tomorrow's conversation, when we fund year
- 2 two or three, there's some confirmation that year one
- 3 and two had something tangible that came out of it
- 4 without just, well, it's ongoing, it's ongoing, and
- 5 it's ongoing, and we're not going to have anything
- 6 until we're fully funded on year five.
- 7 DIANA CRAIG: There is some information in the
- 8 application, if I could direct the Commissioners to
- 9 page 202 of 402, where there are some summaries of what
- 10 the studies have done. We have been very careful, for
- 11 many reasons, to not release results since we didn't
- 12 have results, and making sure that we had the
- 13 appropriate sample size before we released something
- 14 that is meaningful to the public. But there's
- 15 definitely work that's been done, and we've tried to
- 16 document that as we come before you each year. But we
- 17 can definitely do a better job, and we can work on
- 18 that.
- 19 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The difference is you give
- 20 us process and not -- I understand the scientific
- 21 method doesn't encourage you to conclude until all of
- 22 the evidence is in and years of peer review have

- 23 occurred. But we are funding annually, and we would
- 24 like to know at least indicators, at least
- 25 understandings or directions where this work leads.

177

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

16-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 DIANA CRAIG: And I completely understand that.
- 2 I guess our reluctance has been that happened with the
- 3 Northern Spotted owl original study, and the study
- 4 actually got -- the researchers got frustrated and left
- 5 the study because their preliminary results were
- 6 questioned. So we're really trying to walk a balanced
- 7 line here. However, we are making sure that if
- 8 anything is indicated that we need to change our
- 9 management with preliminary results, which, to be
- 10 careful again, we have been watching for those
- 11 indicators.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Hi de-the-ball management
- 13 is no substitute for communication. I understand the
- 14 natural tendency to want to protect your data if you're
- 15 going to be criticized for it; nevertheless, we still
- 16 need to know what Gass hawks are doing and where they
- 17 are located and what are the impacts, at least what
- 18 you're finding the impacts may be.
- 19 DIANA CRAIG: We can do a preliminary report to
- 20 the Commission for the next Commission meeting. That
- 21 would not be a problem at all.
- 22 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Or just periodic bulletins
- 23 of what you're finding.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: In the spirit of moving
- 25 this conversation along, this has been, I think, a very

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 helpful discussion. I do think that this grant
- 2 warrants an increased score. I think these studies are
- very important. This is the final year of these 3
- multi-year studies, which are going to be instrumental 4
- 5 in guiding the route designation process.
- And some of the newer commissioners might not 6
- 7 know the history on how we got to this point where
- 8 we're doing these regional studies. We used to fund
- 9 every year forest by forest wildlife monitoring plans,
- 10 which really are an ineffective way, both in time,
- 11 money, and ecologically to study wildlife. So in lieu
- 12 of doing small grants to every forest to study
- 13 wildlife, we started taking a regional approach through
- 14 the regional office, and you are seeing the results of
- 15 these now.
- So I appreciate, Dr. Craig, all the work that 16
- 17 you've put in. I think it's exciting. I think you're
- 18 hearing the anticipation here. We're all ready to see
- the results of these studies. I do want to emphasize, 19
- 20 again, this is the final year, and we will be getting
- 21 some results and just in time for the route designation
- 22 process, which was towards the goal of this effort.
- 23 On criteria one, I'll support the applicant's
- 24 request for a score of 29 out of 30. I think it's
- 25 really hard to -- I don't know how this grant could do

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010

877-453-1010

- 1 anything but protect OHV opportunity and protect
- 2 resources. The whole purpose of the grant is
- 3 protecting resources. I think we all know what happens
- 4 when we don't take adequate measures to do proper
- 5 management, particularly in regards to endangered
- 6 species. The result is often elimination of OHV
- 7 opportunity.
- 8 On criteria two, sustaining OHV recreation, I
- 9 would support the applicant's request for a score of 24
- 10 out of 25, again largely for the same reasons, that
- 11 sustaining OHV opportunity is essential to know what
- 12 species are out there and to guide management away from
- 13 impacts to those species, particularly when failure to
- 14 do so could result in closure down the road.
- 15 I won't address criteria three. Criteria four,
- 16 demonstration of the proposed project study design
- 17 contains project goals, objectives, methodologies
- 18 pertinent to the research purpose. I've never heard
- 19 anyone question the integrity or the professionalism or
- 20 the research design here, and yet the score was only a
- 21 five out of ten. I think the applicant notes that they
- 22 have outcomes that are realistic and attainable.
- 23 they've been reviewed by statisticians, the preliminary
- 24 results have been analyzed. So I just can't see how
- 25 that wouldn't receive a ten out of ten, and would so

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

180

- 1 move that. And I don't know what that adds up to. I
- 2 won't address criteria five or six.
- OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: I believe that's 77.
 Page 163

4	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: That would be my motion.
5	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second.
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So it's been moved and
7	seconded to increase the score to 77. Any further
8	discussion? All those in favor?
9	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
10	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Those opposed?
11	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
12	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
13	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries. Last but
14	perhaps not least.
15	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: 42-P-83, Plumas National
16	Forest requested \$56,000, received score of 45 and a
17	funding determination of 40 percent or \$22,400.
18	FRED KRUEGER: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
19	Fred Krueger from the Plumas National Forest. When
20	this was initially scored and put on the Consent
21	Calendar, we had agreed with that. It was removed by
22	another party, I believe the California Nevada
23	Snowmobile Association. We would concur still with
24	staff recommendation.
25	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any questions of the 181
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	applicant? Any further public comment?
2	JOHN STEWART: John Stewart, California

- Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs, United 4-Wheel 3
- 4 Drive Associations. Just a little comment about these,
- 5 when you start looking at classification of grants and
- whether they're appropriate. It's noted scientific 6 Page 164

- 7 studies probably for the future should be looked at as
- 8 conservation grants. We're looking to see how do these
- 9 really have a true nexus for this non-CESA category.
- 10 No, it looks like they're more into something that's in
- 11 the conservation field. To that extent, I'd like to
- 12 see about moving it into a different category in the
- 13 future. And support staff on the scoring.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. You had a
- 15 question for the applicant, Commissioner Thomas?
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Yes, where exactly is the
- 17 original application in our material?
- 18 FRED KRUEGER: I'm sorry, unless Mardi can help
- 19 me. Sorry, I realize it's quick for you, Mardi. I do
- 20 not know the page number.
- 21 OHMVR STAFF PEREZ: Volume six, 215.
- FRED KRUEGER: Thank you, Vicki.
- 23 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's the total project.
- 24 How about the individual scientific research grant?
- 25 FRED KRUEGER: It's alphabetical, I know that. 182

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 I believe it's towards the back of our application.
- 2 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: The PCD is on page 269.
- 3 FRED KRUEGER: Thank you.
- 4 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: All right. Noxious weed,
- 5 that's yours. And what is your logic for finding that
- 6 your application doesn't rise above a 45 scoring?
- 7 FRED KRUEGER: I met with the ecologist on the
- 8 forest at the initial scoring, and the individual
- 9 assured me that they could continue on with the Page 165

- 10 research and do this. It's a short-term study with two
- 11 objectives that would look at an association between
- 12 invasive weed species and OHV routes. In other words,
- 13 having OHVs carry or bringing invasive weed species
- 14 into the routes, as well as identifying potential
- 15 routes or possible routes that would pose greater risk
- 16 for the weeds to be carried in that way. And the
- 17 individual guaranteed me that the match of \$10,000,
- 18 which is from the USFS would remain the same. So the
- 19 individual was okay with the amount being recommended
- 20 by staff.
- 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: But if this amount, given
- 22 the shortage is not funded, there would be a zero
- 23 amount, right? Staff is recommending 45 points. Do I
- 24 have the right -- this is number 81.
- 25 FRED KRUEGER: Right, but there is a score of

183

- 1 40.
- 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Right, ultimately if we
- 3 don't fund anything under 65, you're content with
- 4 basically zero funding from the Commission?
- 5 FRED KRUEGER: I understand that. It's just
- 6 that when the first cut was made, we didn't know where
- 7 the cut line was, et cetera, so.
- 8 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Nobody does.
- 9 FRED KRUEGER: Right.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It's a totally fair
- 11 process, we're all in the dark.
- 12 FRED KRUEGER: And very competitive, and we Page 166

- understood that going in and just had to see where the cards fell.
- 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Then I won't bother to
- 16 understand this any further. Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I can't believe you won't
- 18 bother to understand anything further. It's not like
- 19 you. It must be the end of the day.
- 20 Motion and second, all those in favor?
- 21 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 23 (Reporter interrupted for clarification.)
- 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I think I made the motion,
- 25 and that's okay. I'm backfilling for you. I think Mr. 184

- 1 Thomas made the motion and Mr. Spitler made the second,
- 2 if I remember correctly. We can do it all over again,
- 3 whatever the Chair wishes.
- 4 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: We can start over. I'll
- 5 make a motion we accept staff's recommendation on that
- 6 particular grant.
- 7 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll second that.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
- 9 Thanks for backtracking here. All those in favor?
- 10 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 12 That ends the review of grants. Thank you for
- 13 your patience. We especially thank the staff, all of
- 14 the agencies, and a special thanks to our staff for
- 15 putting up with this process and contributing so Page 167

- 16 mightily to this process. And I want to wish Kelly a
- 17 wonderful baby, and Larry a great new career and many
- 18 riding opportunities.
- 19 And the rest of you, we had discussed doing a
- 20 honing of criteria today, but I think we can hold that
- 21 off to public comment tomorrow, if everyone here at the
- 22 Commission and staff level is fine with that, during
- 23 the public workshop after the fuel tax debriefing.
- I know Bruce wanted to comment, but, Bruce,
- 25 you're usually here anyway. I know you had some

185

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 thoughts on the area.
- 2 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Criteria also applies to
- 3 today's grant.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: If you want to make some
- 5 final remarks. Since I cut you off earlier, I will
- 6 allow that.
- 7 BRUCE BRAZIL: Can I have my four minutes?
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Don Klusman, you have some
- 9 final remarks?
- 10 DON KLUSMAN: I have a question of the
- 11 Commission. Are we going to be able to see the cut
- 12 line as we've seen in the other grants that we did in
- 13 December?
- 14 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: You want that heartburn,
- 15 do you?
- 16 DON KLUSMAN: Yes, I do.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: You might ask the staff
- 18 that question.

Page 168

- 19 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: We will try to make that 20 available as soon as possible. We just have to 21 cross-check the numbers as we did last time. So that 22 took about 24 hours. We had it on the website that 23 following Monday. 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Can we have the uncrossed 25 check version? 186 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I don't think that would be 2 fai r. 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I thought we did last We actually had a tentative, and it was 4 time. 5 announced that it was tentative. DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: We will do our best to try 6 7 to see if we can get it for you by tomorrow. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 8 So possibility of tomorrow, 9 otherwise Monday. Thank you. 10 So your four minutes of less than fame. 11 BRUCE BRAZIL: Thank you. Bruce Brazil, 12 California Enduro Riders Association. In going through 13 all of the grant requests from the Barstow BLM, I found 14 there seemed to be some repetition in some of the 15 requests. Under safety and education and trail 16 maintenance, which are for the whole jurisdiction, for
- then in their local, like Afton Canyon restoration, 20 they've got kiosks, they've got signing; Edwards Bowl

17

18 19

restoration, kiosks; Juniper Flats restoration, kiosks, 21 Page 169

their route markers and kiosks and supplies to assemble them, which total up to over \$70,000 for those two, and

- 22 regulatory signs. What I'm showing here is they
- 23 seem -- there may be some duplication of signing and
- 24 kiosks requests, and we're talking over \$60,000,
- 25 \$70,000. Just like to have possibly when staff does

- 1 the final review, to go through that and maybe clarify
- 2 if these are duplicates. Thank you.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That's a fair discussion.
- 4 BRUCE BRAZIL: Thanks for giving me the extra
- 5 time.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So without further ado, if
- 7 everyone is okay, we will be back here at 9:00 in the
- 8 morning.
- 9 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: You opened the floor.
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You really want to hear from
- 11 Mr. Stewart.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I don't necessarily, but I
- 13 respect his right to make the statement.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I opened it because I cut off
- 15 Mr. Brazil earlier and said that we would have some
- 16 remarks and discussion at the end of the day. And I'm
- 17 feeling a little burnt myself and thought it would be
- 18 more refreshing to do it tomorrow.
- 19 Will those comments still be available and
- 20 pertinent tomorrow? Thank you. Thank you all. We're
- 21 in recess.
- 22 (Proceedings adjourned at 3:38 p.m.)
- 23 Respectfully submitted,
- 24 Cheryl Kyle

25

188